



PROCEDURES FOR ACCREDITATION

**For Professional Degree
Programs in Architecture
2012 Edition**

Approved July 20, 2012. Effective August 1, 2012 for all accreditation actions scheduled to take place after January 1, 2013, including Architecture Program Reports due September 7, 2012 or later.

©2012
National Architectural Accrediting Board
1735 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
www.naab.org
info@naab.org
forum@naab.org

Table of Contents

SECTION 1. OVERVIEW.....	1
SECTION 2. ACCREDITATION.....	5
SECTION 3. PROCEDURES FOR CANDIDACY FOR ACCREDITATION	8
1. Candidacy Application.....	8
2. Determination of Eligibility.....	9
3. Initial Candidacy.....	12
SECTION 4. PROCEDURES FOR INITIAL ACCREDITATION.....	26
1. Eligibility for Initial Accreditation.....	26
2. Official Request for Initial Accreditation	27
3. Initial Accreditation.....	28
4. Public Disclosure of Accreditation Outcomes.....	36
SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR CONTINUING ACCREDITATION	38
1. Architecture Program Report.....	38
2. Visiting Teams.....	40
3. Site Visits	43
4. Visiting Team Report (VTR).....	48
6. Transmitting the Decision of the Board of Directors.....	51
7. Confidentiality.....	51
8. Public Disclosure of Accreditation Outcomes.....	51
9. Special Provisions for Institutions with More than One NAAB-Accredited Degree Program. ...	52
SECTION 6. PROGRAM CHANGES THAT REQUIRE REVIEW BY THE NAAB.....	54
SECTION 7. NOMENCLATURE CHANGE REQUESTS.....	59
SECTION 8. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES	64
SECTION 9. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	69

SECTION 10. ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORTS	71
SECTION 12. COMPLAINTS ABOUT PROGRAMS	74
SECTION 13. RECONSIDERATIONS	75
SECTION 14. APPEAL OF A RECONSIDERATION DECISION	78
SECTION 15. RESPONSIBILITIES	80
APPENDICES	84
Index.....	98

SECTION 1. OVERVIEW

About the National Architectural Accrediting Board

The National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB) is both a decision-making and policy-generating body composed of a 13-member Board of Directors. The American Institute of Architects (AIA), the Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture, and the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) each nominate three directors for three-year terms, which are staggered at one-year intervals. The American Institute of Architecture Students (AIAS) nominates two directors for staggered two-year terms. The directors, collateral organizations, and interested members of the public at-large propose candidates for two public directors, who serve three-year terms and are elected by the Board of Directors. In addition, the executive director serves *ex officio*.

The Board elects an executive committee that includes at least one representative each from the AIA, ACSA, and NCARB, to serve as president, president-elect, secretary, and treasurer for a period of one year. At the discretion of the president, the most senior member of the Board nominated by the AIAS may be invited to participate in the deliberations of the executive committee.

The Board of Directors holds three regular meetings per year: winter, summer, and autumn. Program accreditation decisions rest solely with the NAAB Board of Directors.

The NAAB reserves the right to vary from these published procedures if such an action is in the best interests of a program or programs. The Board of Directors has delegated responsibility for establishing and maintaining the operating procedures that support accreditation activities, including the implementation of these *Procedures* to the executive director.

The NAAB is an independent nonprofit 501(c) 3 corporation with an office in Washington, D.C. It adheres to nondiscriminatory practices and is funded equally by the AIA, ACSA and NCARB, with a contribution by the AIAS. Directors and visiting team members are not compensated, but are reimbursed for expenses.

Vision, Mission, and Values

From the 1940 Founding Agreement

“The ... societies creating this accrediting board, here record their intent not to create conditions, nor to have conditions created, that will tend toward standardization of educational philosophies or practices, but rather to create and maintain conditions that will encourage the development of practices suited to the conditions which are special to the individual school. The accrediting board must be guided by this intent.”

Since 1975, the *NAAB Conditions for Accreditation* have emphasized self-assessment and student performance as central elements of the NAAB model. The Directors have maintained their commitment to both of these as core tenets of the NAAB’s criteria and procedures.

Vision: The NAAB aspires to be the leader in establishing educational quality assurance standards to enhance the value, relevance, and effectiveness of the architectural profession.

Mission: The NAAB develops and maintains a system of accreditation in professional architecture education that is responsive to the needs of society and allows institutions with varying resources and circumstances to evolve according to their individual needs.

Values: The following principles serve as a guide and inspiration to the NAAB.

1. **Shared Responsibility.** The education of an architect is a responsibility shared by the academy and the profession in trust for the broader society and the public good.
2. **Best Practices.** The NAAB's accreditation processes are based on best practices in professional and specialized accreditation.
3. **Program Accountability.** Architecture degree programs are accountable for the learning of their students. Thus, accreditation by the NAAB is based both on educational outcomes and institutional commitment to continuous improvement.
4. **Preparing Graduates for Practice.** A NAAB-accredited degree prepares students to live and work in a diverse world: to think critically; to make informed decisions; to communicate effectively; to engage in life-long learning; and to exercise the unique knowledge and skills required to work and develop as professionals. Graduates are prepared for architectural internship, set on the pathway to examination and licensure, and to engage in related fields.
5. **Constant Conditions for Diverse Contexts.** *The NAAB Conditions for Accreditation* are broadly defined and achievement-oriented so that programs may meet these standards within the framework of their mission and vision, allowing for initiative and innovation. This imposes conditions on both the NAAB and on architectural programs. The NAAB assumes the responsibility for undertaking a fair, thorough, and holistic evaluation process, relying essentially on the program's ability to demonstrate how within their institutional context they meet all evaluative criteria. The process relies on evaluation and judgment that, being rendered on the basis of qualitative factors, may defy precise substantiation.
6. **Continuous Improvement through Regular Review.** *The NAAB Conditions for Accreditation* are developed through an iterative process that acknowledges and values the contributions of educators, professionals in traditional and non-traditional practice, and students. The NAAB regularly convenes conversations on critical issues (e.g. studio culture) and challenges the other four collateral partners to acknowledge and respect the perspectives of the others.

The NAAB) was founded in 1940, to "produce and maintain current a list of accredited schools of architecture in the United States and its possessions, with the general objective that a well integrated and coordinated program of architectural education be developed that is national in scope and afford opportunity for architectural schools with varying resources and operating conditions to find places appropriate to their objectives and do high class work therein." Since 1975, the NAAB has accredited professional degree programs rather than schools or universities and only accredits the first professional degree program offered by any school or university. As such, the NAAB does not accredit preprofessional degrees or other preparatory education that may serve as a prerequisite for admission to a professional degree program.

The NAAB is the only agency recognized by registration boards in U.S. jurisdictions to accredit professional degree programs in architecture. Because most registration boards require an applicant for licensure to hold an NAAB-accredited degree, obtaining such a degree is an essential part of gaining access to the licensed practice of architecture.

The curriculum of an NAAB-accredited degree program includes professional studies, general studies, and electives. To gain and retain accreditation of its degree program, each institution must both develop a program specific to its mission and also educate students to be knowledgeable and capable of producing work that can be measured by, and satisfy, specific performance criteria.

The NAAB fully recognizes the rights and responsibilities of the educational institutions that offer degrees in preparation for entry into professional careers in the licensed practice of architecture as defined and governed by the laws of the individual states and jurisdictions.

Educational institutions are composed of a faculty responsible for the appropriate development of individual courses and curricula that are required, at a minimum, to provide each student the educational opportunity to meet the student performance criteria as defined by the NAAB.

The NAAB recognizes the institutional rights and responsibilities of the faculty to explore fundamental and innovative educational concepts, scholarship, research, methods, and technologies that exceed the minimum student performance criteria and that will lead to even higher standards of performance within the profession of architecture and related alternative careers of diverse and creative service to society.

International Activities

The NAAB's aspires to be the leader in establishing educational quality assurance standards to enhance the value, relevance, and effectiveness of the architectural profession. Given the increasing globalization of the profession, the number of American architects practicing throughout the world, the number of architects from other countries seeking to work in the United States, and professional organizations from a number of countries seeking advice and counsel from the NAAB in developing educational standards in their own countries, the following are some of the ways in which the NAAB provides services internationally:

- Architectural programs (outside the US and Canada) that can meet the NAAB Conditions for Accreditation are eligible for full accreditation under the terms of the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation* and these procedures.
- Architectural programs (outside the US and Canada) who cannot meet the NAAB Conditions largely because they are not regionally accredited as required by Condition II.2.1, are eligible to be evaluated for substantial equivalency. The NAAB occasionally evaluates programs outside the U.S., ineligible for NAAB accreditation, to determine if they are "substantially equivalent" to NAAB-accredited programs. The term "substantial equivalency" identifies a program as comparable in educational outcomes in all significant aspects, and indicates that it provides an educational experience meeting acceptable standards, even though such program may differ in format or method of delivery. **Substantial equivalency is not accreditation.** The NAAB has established *Procedures for Substantial Equivalency*. These are available on the NAAB website.

- The NAAB can provide advice and consultation to organizations in other countries that are developing accreditation standards and procedures. Such consulting is provided for a fee.
- The Education Evaluation Service for Architects (EESA) provides assistance to individuals who do not have a professional degree in architecture from an NAAB-accredited program and who wish to either apply for an NCARB Certificate or for registration by an NCARB member board. EESA works with both internationally educated architects and applicants in the NCARB Broadly Experienced Architect program. For additional information go to www.naab-eesa.org.

Finally, the NAAB currently serves as the Secretariat for the Canberra Accord (CA). The CA is a multi-lateral agreement between accrediting agencies acknowledging the substantial equivalency of their systems of accreditation/validation/recognition in architecture education. Responsibility for the Secretariat is delegated to signatory agencies at two-year intervals.

Accreditation Documents

The *2012 NAAB Procedures for Accreditation* and the *2009 NAAB Conditions for Accreditation* outline, respectively, the requirements an accredited degree program must meet and procedures that they and the visiting teams must follow in order to demonstrate the achievement of minimum standards and a uniform accrediting process. These documents also contain *suggestions* that programs and teams are encouraged to follow. These documents govern accreditation actions for the period 2013-2016 (including *Architecture Programs Reports* submitted in September 2012).

This document is a companion to the *2009 NAAB Conditions for Accreditation*. Each should be read in the context of the other.

The *Procedures* are reviewed and updated, as needed, at least every two years to reflect changes in operating policy or procedures that may have been undertaken since the last review. Proposed changes are released for public comment and review by the collateral organizations and the public at least 120 days prior to the Board meeting at which they are scheduled to be approved.

Conditions for Accreditation (2009 ed.)

The *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*, published separately, are the criteria professional degree programs in architecture are expected to meet in order to achieve and maintain accreditation by the NAAB. The *Conditions* are reviewed every five years through a comprehensive process of assessment, research, analysis, review by the Board of Directors, and consultation with representatives of the other collateral organizations – this is known as the Accreditation Review Conference.

Resulting revisions are reviewed by the collateral organizations and approved by the NAAB Board of Directors in the year following the accreditation review process. The next edition of the *NAAB Conditions for Accreditation* will be released in 2014.

SECTION 2. ACCREDITATION

Types/terms of accreditation

Although there are minor distinctions among the procedures that apply to initial candidacy, initial accreditation, continuing accreditation, or reinstated accreditation, the sequence is similar for all institutions seeking NAAB action.

Actions on stages and terms of accreditation are taken at regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors, except where noted. In all cases any motion regarding an accreditation action must have at least eight votes in favor to pass.

Unless specifically noted in the Board's decision, all terms of accreditation are effective on January 1 of the year in which the visit took place. Conversely, all terms of accreditation expire on January 1 of the year in which a visit is scheduled to take place unless and until the NAAB approves a motion for a term of accreditation.

1. **STAGE I: Candidacy.** Institutions seeking initial accreditation for a professional degree program in architecture must first be granted candidacy status by the NAAB. Institutions intending to establish a professional degree program should seek guidance from the NAAB for assistance in reviewing Sections 3, 4 and 5 of this document before proceeding with the development of a candidacy application.
 - a. Programs seeking candidacy may be granted a period of candidacy of not less than two years. The program must achieve initial accreditation under Section 2.2 within six years of the effective date of the term of initial candidacy.
 - b. The eligibility requirements for initial candidacy are defined in Section 3 of this document.
 - c. The maximum period of initial candidacy is six years. Should a program fail to achieve initial accreditation within the maximum period, it must submit a new candidacy application (See Section 3).
2. **STAGE II: Initial accreditation.** All visits for initial accreditation will take place in the fall semester following the graduation of the first cohort of students to complete the full curriculum. The term of initial accreditation will be granted as follows:
 - a. The effective date of initial accreditation will be set as January 1 of the year in which the visit took place.
 - b. The term of initial accreditation is three years.
 - c. The eligibility requirements for initial accreditation are defined in Section 4 of this document.

Programs that received a term of initial accreditation before January 1, 2011 will not have the effective dates of their terms of initial accreditation adjusted retroactively.

Initial accreditation is probationary in nature and indicates that although deficiencies may be present, the institution has established plans and is making sufficient progress to address or remove the deficiencies by the time of the first visit for continuing accreditation under Section 2.3.

A term of initial accreditation is not the same as a three-year term of continuing accreditation.

3. **STAGE III: First Term of Continuing Accreditation Following a Term of Initial Accreditation.**

- a. The first visit for continuing accreditation will be three years from the year in which the visit for initial accreditation was conducted.
- b. Programs that have achieved a term of initial accreditation may only receive a six-year term of accreditation under Section 2.4.a as a result of the Board's decision following the first visit for continuing accreditation or accreditation will be revoked.
- c. Failure to receive a six-year term of accreditation under Section 2.4.a indicates that the program failed to meet the plans established for its initial accreditation, failed to make sufficient progress to address or remove deficiencies identified during the visit for initial accreditation, or has new deficiencies, such that continuing accreditation is not warranted. Programs that are seeking their first term of continuing accreditation, but fail to receive a six-year term, and therefore have the program's accreditation revoked, and which wish to continue to seek accreditation may reapply for initial candidacy under Section 2.1.

4. **STAGE IV: Subsequent Terms of Continuing Accreditation.** Programs that have completed the first term of continuing accreditation and are seeking a subsequent term of continuing accreditation may receive one of the following terms of accreditation, or accreditation may be revoked.

- a. **Six-Year Term.** This term indicates that deficiencies, if any, are minor, and the intent to correct them is ensured. The program is accredited for a six-year period.¹
- b. **Three-Year Term.** This term indicates that major deficiencies are present in at least three of the following areas at the time of the current visit and may also have been present at the time of the previous visit:

Learning Culture and Social Equity
Long-Range Planning

¹ SPECIAL NOTE: Any focused evaluation approved by the NAAB as part of an accreditation decision that is scheduled to take place in 2013, 2014 or 2015 will be conducted. *The NAAB Procedures for Accreditation*, 2011 Edition, Section 6 will govern the process by which these evaluations are conducted.

Self-Assessment
Physical Resources
Human Resources and Human Resource Development
Financial Resources
Information Resources
Student Performance Criteria

Additionally, a program may receive a reduced term if any single SPC has been identified as Not Met for two previous consecutive accreditation visits and remains Not Met during the current review.

Multiple deficiencies in these areas sufficiently affect the quality of the program and a full accreditation review is required after less than six years. At the next scheduled review, the program may only receive either a six-year term, or a two-year probationary term.

- d. **Two-Year Probationary Term.** This term indicates that the deficiencies are severe enough to have eroded the quality of the program and that the intent or capability to correct these deficiencies is not evident.
 - i. The program is on probation and must show cause for the continuance of its accreditation.
 - ii. At its next scheduled review, the program must receive at least a three-year term or accreditation will be revoked.
 - iii. The next scheduled review of a program that has received a two-year probationary term usually will be conducted by a team consisting of three former NAAB Directors and a person not from the NAAB.
 - iv. If a three-year term follows a two-year probationary term, the program must receive a six-year term, at the next scheduled review or accreditation will be revoked.
- e. **Revocation of Accreditation.** Indicates that insufficient progress was made during a two-year probationary term to warrant a three-year term. Accreditation may also be revoked if the team observes substantial and uncorrectable noncompliance with the NAAB conditions for accreditation during any site visit. Finally, accreditation may be revoked if no Architecture Program Report is submitted.

SECTION 3. PROCEDURES FOR CANDIDACY FOR ACCREDITATION

Initial candidacy for new professional degree programs in architecture requires the completion of three important steps. For institutions that already have at least one NAAB-accredited professional degree program, some of these steps may be waived or modified. Generally, the steps are as follows:

- Application to establish candidacy status.
- Determination of eligibility.
- Initial candidacy visit.

Throughout the process, there are points of review by the NAAB staff and the NAAB Board of Directors.

Institutions interested in establishing a NAAB-accredited, professional degree program in architecture are encouraged to contact the NAAB staff, administrators and faculty members from institutions with NAAB-accredited degree programs, the ACSA, and professional consultants for advice and counsel in selecting appropriate degree types and for assistance in preparing the necessary documentation, especially the *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation*.

If an institution seeks to establish more than one NAAB-accredited program, the applications must be made separately. The NAAB will not accept applications for candidacy for more than one program at a time from the same institution.

The maximum period of candidacy is six years. Should a program fail to achieve initial accreditation within the maximum period, it must submit a new candidacy application.

1. **Candidacy Application.** Institutions seeking initial accreditation for a professional degree program in architecture must first be granted candidacy status by the NAAB. The first step in achieving candidacy status is to submit an application for candidacy to the NAAB. A complete application must include the following:
 - a. A written announcement from the institution's chief academic officer of the intention to seek candidacy for accreditation for a professional degree program in architecture. The letter should include the specific degree name (e.g., B. Arch., M. Arch., or D. Arch.) along with any prerequisites and the total number of credits to be awarded.
 - b. The most recent decision letter from the recognized, U.S. regional accrediting agency for the institution (See 2009 *NAAB Conditions for Accreditation*, Part II: Section 2.1, Regional Accreditation).
 - c. The *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation* (see below).
 - d. Applications may be submitted in electronic format only.
 - i. Applications are limited to 75 pages including all supplemental information. They are to be sent either in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF and are limited to 3 MBs.
 - ii. Applications are to be addressed to the Accreditation Manager, NAAB.

By e-mail: info@naab.org with a copy to cpair@naab.org. Please include "Application for Candidacy" in the subject line.

2. **Determination of Eligibility.** The second step toward becoming a candidate program is for the NAAB to determine whether the proposed degree program is eligible for candidacy. The process used for determining eligibility is based on whether the institution already offers a NAAB-accredited degree and is seeking to develop another one or whether the institution has no NAAB-accredited programs.
 - a. **Review of the Application.** The executive director will review the application to determine whether it is complete. Once the application is complete a review panel will be named.
 - b. **Membership of the Review Panel.** A review panel consists of the NAAB executive director or another senior staff member, a member of the executive committee, and one additional member of the Board of Directors.
 - c. **Responsibilities of the Review Panel.** The panel will review the application and conduct an eligibility visit if necessary and determine whether to accept the application in full; accept the application provisionally; or reject the application and request a new application.
 - i. For programs seeking candidacy for a professional degree program in architecture that **do not** currently have a NAAB-accredited degree program:
 1. The application will reviewed by the panel and an eligibility visit will be scheduled (see paragraph d).
 2. After completion of the eligibility visit a memorandum will be prepared (see paragraph e.)
 - ii. For programs that already offer at least one NAAB-accredited degree and are seeking candidacy for an additional professional degree program (e.g., an institution with an accredited B. Arch. is seeking to establish an accredited M. Arch.):
 1. The application will be reviewed by the panel and additional information may be requested.

Once the panel has completed its review of the documentation provided by the institution, a report will be prepared (see paragraph e).
 - d. **Eligibility Visit**
 - i. **Purpose.** There are three purposes of the eligibility visit.
 1. To review the *Conditions* and *Procedures* with the proposed program's administrators, faculty, staff, and students.
 2. To confirm the institutional commitment to the implementation of the *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation*.
 3. To review the physical, financial, human, and information resources committed to the program.
 - ii. **Format**
 1. Eligibility visits are to last not more than two days.
 2. The visit will be undertaken by any one of the individuals assigned to the review panel.
 3. The visit will be scheduled on two consecutive weekdays during the regular academic year.

4. The visit should include the following:
 - a. Presentation by the program on the history and mission of the institution, academic/administrative unit, and proposed degree program.
 - b. Discussion between the reviewer and the program administrator to review the *NAAB Conditions and Procedures*.
 - c. Separate meetings with faculty, staff, and students.
 - d. Meetings with division administrators (e.g., department chair and dean) and chief academic officer.
 - e. Opportunities to observe classes and studios (if courses are being offered that will be included in the proposed degree program).
 - f. A tour of the physical resources that are or will be designated for the program (studios, classrooms, seminar rooms, shops, and labs).
 - g. A tour of the library or other information resource center(s) that support the program.
 - h. Optional: a meeting with *alumni* of the institution and local architects. This meeting is only required for institutions seeking to develop an existing preprofessional program into an accredited professional degree program.
- e. **Report from the Review Panel.** Following either the documentary review and, if necessary, the eligibility visit, the panel must submit a memorandum to the Board of Directors that documents observations and conclusions. The report must include the following:
 - i. A review of the resources committed to the program.
 - ii. Commitment of the institution to the implementation of the *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation*.
 - iii. Assessment of the readiness of the program to complete a visit for initial candidacy.
 - iv. Recommendation to the NAAB Board to accept or not accept the program as eligible for initial candidacy. The recommendation will also identify the length of time that should elapse before scheduling the initial candidacy visit.
- f. **Board Action on Eligibility for Initial Candidacy**
 - i. The panel's recommendation is presented to the Board at its next regularly scheduled meeting.
 - ii. If the Board approves a motion to accept the program as eligible for initial candidacy, the NAAB staff will select a visiting team chair and advise the program to compile an *Architecture Program Report for Initial Candidacy (APR-IC)* and prepare for an initial candidacy visit as outlined below.
 - iii. If the Board does not accept the program as eligible for initial candidacy, the program leadership will be advised. The program may submit a new application. There is a one-year waiting period before submitting a new application
- g. **Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation**

- i. **Purpose.** *The Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation* serves multiple purposes:
 1. It is an analysis of the current status of the program that identifies long-term objectives for establishing and implementing the new accredited degree program.
 2. It is an analysis of the extent to which the proposed accredited program already complies with the *Conditions for Accreditation* with special emphasis on program identity, resources, and the curricular framework.
 3. It proposes a course of action for achieving initial accreditation in not more than six years. This includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. Securing resources not already available to the proposed program (e.g., faculty, space, financial support).
 - b. Securing institutional approvals for the proposed degree program (if required).
 - c. Recruiting and retaining students.
 - d. Proposed date for enrolling the first cohort or class; projected date for awarding degrees to the first cohort or class to complete the proposed program.
 - e. Developing and implementing new courses and/or curricular sequences.
 - f. Plans or provisions in the event the program does not achieve initial candidacy.
 - g. Plans or provisions in the event the program does not achieve initial accreditation.
- ii. **Content.** *The Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation* should include the following:
 1. Cover Page – this page should include the following information:
 - a. Name of Institution
 - b. Degree program proposed (i.e., B. Arch., M. Arch., or D. Arch.), with prerequisites as appropriate (e.g., M. Arch., (preprofessional degree plus 42 graduate credits)).
 - c. Name, address, email, and telephone contact information for the following individuals:
 - i. Program administrator
 - ii. Head of academic unit in which the program will be located
 - iii. Chief academic officer
 - iv. President of the institution
 2. Part One – Analysis of the extent to which the proposed program already complies with the following Conditions for Accreditation:
 - a. Part I: Sections 1-3
 - b. Part II: Section 1-4
 3. Part Two – Timeline for Achieving Initial Accreditation (see above)
 4. Part Three – Supplemental Information
 - a. 3.1 Course Descriptions (See *2009 Conditions* Appendix 1)
 - b. 3.2 Faculty Resumes (See *2009 Conditions* Appendix 2)

3. **Initial Candidacy.** Once a program has been accepted as eligible for initial candidacy, a site visit for initial candidacy will be scheduled for the next academic year, generally in the spring. With certain exceptions, visits for initial candidacy are similar to those for continuing accreditation. There are, however, subtle, yet important distinctions (e.g., the length of the visit). The first step is the preparation of an *Architecture Program Report for Initial Candidacy (APR-IC)* and preparation for a visiting team. The *APR-IC*, selection of the visiting team, and other elements of the site visit are described below.

a. **Architecture Program Report Submitted for Initial Candidacy Visits**

- i. **Purpose.** The *Architecture Program Report for Initial Candidacy (APR-IC)* serves both as a self-study for the program and as the principle source document for conducting the visit.
- ii. **Content.** For programs seeking initial candidacy, the *APR-IC* should:
 1. Present complete and accurate information to demonstrate the extent to which the program is already in compliance with the NAAB Conditions.
 2. Present complete and accurate information to demonstrate how the program will use its *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation* to achieve compliance with the NAAB Conditions in not less than six years.
 3. Areas and levels of excellence will vary among degree programs seeking candidacy as will approaches to meeting the conditions and reporting requirements. While programs are encouraged to identify those areas in which they believe they excel, positive aspects of a degree program in one area cannot override deficiencies in another.
- iii. **Format.** Schools must use the following format for the *APR for Initial Candidacy*. Each part should be used to describe how the program's *unique* qualities, its *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation*, and its students' achievements do (or will) satisfy the conditions that all programs must meet in order to become accredited. For additional information on the contents of the *APR-IC* see, *The NAAB 2009 Conditions for Accreditation*.
 1. Part One – Institutional Support and Commitment to Continuous Improvement
 - a. 1.1 Identity & Self-Assessment
 - b. 1.2 Resources
 - c. 1.3 Institutional Characteristics
 - i. Statistical Reports (comparative data not required for *APR-IC*)
 - ii. Faculty Credentials
 2. Part Two – Educational Outcomes and Curriculum
 - a. 2.1 Student Performance Criteria
 - b. 2.2 Curricular Framework
 - c. 2.3 Evaluation of Preparatory/Preprofessional Education
 - d. 2.4 Public Information

3. Part Three – Progress Since the Last Site Visit (not required for *APR-IC*)
 - a. Responses to Conditions Not Met
 - b. Responses to Causes of Concern
 4. Part Four – Supplemental Information
 - a. 4.1 Description of policies and procedures for evaluating student work
 - b. 4.2 Course Descriptions (see *2009 Conditions*, Appendix 1 for format)
 - c. 4.3 Faculty Resumes (see *2009 Conditions* Appendix 2 for format)
 - d. 4.5 Eligibility Memorandum from the review of the application for candidacy.
 - e. 4.4 Catalog (or URL for retrieving online catalogs and related materials)
- iv. **Submission.** *APRs for Initial Candidacy* are to be submitted in electronic format only.
1. *APR-ICs* are limited to 250 pages including all parts. The page limit does not include the institution’s catalog or the eligibility memorandum.
 2. Electronic versions of the *APR-IC* are to be sent either in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF and are limited to 7 MBs.
 3. *APR-ICs* are submitted through the NAAB’s integrated information management system.
- v. **Review and acceptance**
1. The *APR-IC* is first reviewed by the NAAB staff to ensure it is complete.
 2. The *APR-IC* is then reviewed by the team chair for completeness and clarity, to discern the complexity of the program’s structure, and to identify issues that may affect the duration and agenda for the site visit. The visiting team chair’s review results in a recommendation to the staff to do one of the following:
 - a. Accept the *APR-IC* and schedule the site visit.
 - b. Accept the *APR-IC*, schedule the site visit, and request additional information before the visit.
 - c. Require additional information to be submitted not less than 60 days before the scheduled visit date. The date will be confirmed after the additional information is received, reviewed, and determined to be acceptable.
 - d. Reject the *APR-IC* and require a new report be submitted for review not less than 45 days prior to the date for the visit. If the new *APR-IC* is considered acceptable, the visit will take place.
 - i. Should the chair recommend the *APR-IC* be rejected, the *APR-IC* and the chair’s review are brought before the NAAB Board of Directors for review and action.

- ii. Should the school fail to deliver an acceptable amended or replacement *APR-IC*, the chief academic officer of the institution is notified that the candidacy visit will have to be postponed until the next semester. A new chair will be appointed and a new team assembled.

vi. **Dates/Deadlines**

1. *APR-ICs* are due in the NAAB offices by September 7 of the calendar year immediately preceding the year in which the initial candidacy visit is scheduled to take place. In the event a candidacy visit is scheduled for the fall, the *APR-IC* is due not less than 6 months prior to the scheduled date for the visit.
2. For *APR-ICs* sent in September, review of *APR-ICs* must be completed before the regularly scheduled fall meeting of the NAAB Board of Directors.
3. For *APR-ICs* submitted in the spring, the review must be completed before the regularly scheduled summer meeting of the NAAB Board of Directors.
4. New *APR-ICs* (if they are requested) are due not less than 45 days prior to the dates for visit.

- vii. **Dissemination of the *APR-IC* to the Public Prior to the Visit.** To stimulate broad-based participation, the program is encouraged to distribute the *APR-IC* within the school community before and during the site visit. However, the *APR* is not to be shared with the general public until after the final decision is communicated by the NAAB (see Section 4.3.e).

b. **Visiting Teams**

i. **Composition of teams**

1. Teams for initial candidacy visits are composed of three individuals: an educator, a practitioner, and an individual selected from a pool of NAAB staff and former NAAB Directors. One of these individuals will be designated by the NAAB Directors to serve as the team chair.
2. Teams are composed by the NAAB staff after the date for the visit has been set by the team chair and the program administrator. The NAAB makes every effort to ensure the team is balanced for geography, gender, race/ethnicity, and accreditation experience. In addition, the staff makes every effort to ensure that no one proposed as a member of a visiting team has a real or perceived conflict of interest as defined in Section 9. To maintain uniform quality of visits and *Candidacy Visiting Team Reports (C-VTRs)*, teams are selected so that not more than one person is on his or her first visit.
3. Team members are advised of their preliminary selection for a specific visit with the understanding that final approval of the team is the responsibility of the program.

ii. **Team Chair**

1. **Role.** The team chair is responsible for the following:
 - a. Negotiating the date for the visit with the program administrator.
 - b. Reviewing the *APR for Initial Candidacy* and identifying needs for additional information or requesting changes to the report.
 - c. Developing the agenda for the visit with the program administrator.
 - d. Consulting with the program administrator on the format and content of the team room.
 - e. Hosting a mandatory pre-visit conference call with the team prior to the visit to establish expectations and special requirements or circumstances.
 - f. Preparing the final draft of the *Candidacy-Visiting Team Report* (see below) and sending it to the NAAB offices within 30 days of the visit.
 - g. Securing the signatures of all team members on the report.
 - h. Securing the signatures of the team on the confidential recommendation.
 - i. Approving corrections of fact submitted by the program after reviewing the draft *C-VTR*.
 - j. Ensuring the team's compliance with the *Procedures for Accreditation* and appropriate standards of conduct during the visit.
 - k. Attend team chair training.
2. **Selection.** Visiting team chairs are nominated by the Executive Committee before the site visit. The selection is based on a review of the resumes of former visiting team chairs and experienced visiting team members. Visiting team chairs may also be selected from among former directors of the NAAB. NAAB staff notify program administrators once a chair has been nominated. The administrator may challenge the nomination on the basis of potential conflicts of interest (See Section 9). Once the chair has been confirmed, the administrator and the chair work together to select a date for the visit.
- iii. **Non-voting member.** Non-voting members are not permitted on teams for initial candidacy or on subsequent teams to determine the continuation of candidacy.
- iv. **Notification to Program.** The NAAB staff notify the program administrator when a full team has been assembled. The program administrator is responsible for determining whether any member of the team poses a real or potential conflict of interest.
- v. **Conflicts of Interest.** The NAAB seeks to avoid any real or perceived conflict of interest in its procedures, deliberations, and accrediting decisions. See Section 9 for additional information.
- vi. **Challenges to Team Members.** Programs may challenge no more than one member of a proposed visiting team for initial candidacy, under the terms of Section 9, Conflicts of Interest. Such challenges are to be made

in writing within 10 days of receiving notice of the nomination of a chair or the membership of a visiting team. Challenges will be reviewed by the NAAB executive director and accreditation manager. Where challenges are permitted to stand, a new team member will be assigned. Challenges will not be accepted less than 21 days prior to the start of an accreditation visit.

c. Scheduling the Dates for the Site Visit

1. The dates for a visit for initial candidacy are set by the team chair and the program administrator in consultation.
2. Generally, these visits take place between the last week of January and the first week of April each year.
3. Once a date has been set and a team proposed, the date cannot be changed.
4. Length of the visit:
 - a. Visits for initial candidacy begin on Saturday evening and end the following Wednesday at noon.
 - b. If the program is still in the early stages of implementation and the amount of student work available for review is limited, the visit may begin on Sunday evening and end the following Wednesday at noon. The final decision on the length of the visit is made by the team chair in consultation with the program administrator and the NAAB.
5. All members of the team are expected to participate in the visit the entire time.
6. If the program seeking candidacy is to be offered in more than one site, the team chair may arrive early in order to visit other locations for the program. These exceptions are agreed to by the team chair and the program administrator with advice from the NAAB staff. See Section 8 for additional information on visits with special circumstances.

d. Schedule/Agenda for Each Visit for Initial Candidacy. Each visit must include, at a minimum, the following:

i. Prior to the Visit

1. **Team Orientation.** Team members participate in a mandatory pre-visit conference call in which the visiting team chair reviews the *APR-IC*, *Conditions* and the *Procedures*, discusses visit protocols, and establishes expectations for each team member and for how the team will work. Generally, this call will take place 14 days prior to the start of the visit.
2. **Review of the *APR-IC*** (Team only). This review allows team members to discuss their initial reactions to the *APR*, to raise any initial concerns and to identify and prioritize the questions to be addressed during the visit. In light of this discussion, the visiting team chair outlines team assignments and may revise details of the agenda.

ii. Onsite

1. Tours

- a. **Physical Resources.** The school conducts a brief tour of the physical resources that support the professional degree program. This tour should include an explanation of how the team room is organized, the facilities the program uses, as well as, meetings with the personnel of media centers, workshops, and laboratories.
- b. **Library/Information Resources.** The library tour includes a meeting with the architecture librarian and visual resources professional to discuss their assessment of those components.

2. Meetings (NOTE: All meetings are confidential, informal discussions, **not** presentations.)

- a. **Staff.** This is a meeting with key staff of the academic unit and without any faculty or administrators present. Staff that attend this meeting should include but not be limited to administrative assistants, shop personnel, librarians, career placement professionals, advisors and others.
- b. **Program Head.** These include a discussion of issues arising from the *APR-I*, the program's strategic plan and self-assessment procedures, any required changes to the visit agenda, and any requests for additional materials the team may need.
- c. **Entrance Meetings with the School or College Administrator, Chief Academic Officer, Faculty, and Students.** These are separate meetings and allow the team to review and discuss the implications of the new degree program, and identify strengths and causes for concern or any issue raised by the visiting team, the program, or the institution.
 - i. Meetings with faculty must be open to all ranks from the various curricular areas, including those from other disciplines supporting the program.
 - ii. Meetings with students, without the presence of any administrators, staff, or faculty, should be arranged so that all students can attend.
- d. **Meeting with student representatives.** This is an informal gathering of a small group of students, without the presence of any administrators, staff, or faculty, who may be officers in student organizations or elected to attend by their peers.
- e. **Optional: Contact with Graduates and Local Practitioners.** (Only if the institution is proposing to expand an existing preprofessional program into an accredited degree program or during visits for continuation of candidacy). This meeting is optional. Attendees may include recent and past graduates, local registration board members, and representatives of the AIA chapter.

3. **Review of Student and Faculty Exhibits.** Team members are individually and jointly responsible for assessing work in the team room and elsewhere.
4. **Observation of Studios, Lectures, and Seminars.** (Only necessary if courses currently being offered are or will be part of the proposed professional degree program)The team may divide to attend scheduled classes and may use evenings to observe unscheduled studio activity.
5. **Review of General Studies, Electives, and Related Programs.** This review includes meetings with faculty or administrators to discuss prerequisite general studies courses, minors or concentrations that students may pursue, and any programs or groups that have a significant relationship with the accredited degree program.
6. **Review of Student Records and Transfer Credit Assessment.** The visiting team chair may request school and student records, which should be presented with names removed.
7. **Debriefing Sessions.** Each evening, the team meets to evaluate its progress, adjust assignments, and assess the need for additional information.
8. **Accreditation Deliberation and Drafting the VTR.** The last afternoon and evening of the site visit is devoted to developing the team's consensus on whether the program has met each of the NAAB conditions, drafting an assessment of the latter, and agreeing on the confidential recommendation to the NAAB Directors on a term of candidacy. By the end of the last work session, the *VTR* should be in a draft form and ready for editing by the visiting team chair.
9. **Exit interviews.** The sequence of exit interviews is proscribed in order to ensure the team delivers its initial information to key leaders within the institution and the program before addressing the faculty, staff, and students in the program. These interviews are not to take place until the team has finished its deliberations. Further, the purpose of these interviews is to communicate the following:
 - a. the conditions met with distinction,
 - b. the conditions not yet met,
 - c. causes of concern, and
 - d. any general team comments or acknowledgements.These interviews are led by the chair; other members of the team may be called upon by the chair to comment. All members of the team are advised to avoid making any comments that may be interpreted as offering advice or other recommendations to the program or as revealing the content of the confidential recommendation.

The recommended sequence of exit interviews on the final morning is as follows:

- Exit interview with the program administrator, one hour. Questions and answers of clarification are permitted; the team chair will lead any response.
- Exit interview with the leadership of the academic unit in which the program is located (e.g., director, chair, dean), 30 minutes. Questions and answers of clarification are permitted; the team chair will lead any response. NOTE: this may be broken down into more than one meeting.
- Exit interview with the central administrators responsible for oversight of the academic unit (e.g., provost or vice president for academic affairs), 30 minutes. Questions and answers of clarification are permitted; the team chair will lead any response.
- Exit interview with the students, faculty, and staff of the program, 30 minutes; questions and answers are not permitted.
- The team is expected to leave the institution as soon as the last interview is completed.

e. Team Room

1. **Purpose.** The purpose of the team room is the same as for visits for continuing accreditation. Please see Section 3 for additional information. It is to be designated for the exclusive use of the team to evaluate the program in confidence.
2. **Contents.** Before the site visit, the program head and visiting team chair discuss the content and organization of the team room. The team room must contain fully labeled and easily accessible exhibits of student work, if available. Materials used as exhibits must include examples of both the minimum passing grade and high achievement; be of sufficient quantity to ensure that all graduates are meeting the performance criteria; and have been executed by students enrolled in the proposed program (this may not be necessary for an initial candidacy visit, but will be necessary for a subsequent visit for continuation of candidacy). In all cases, student work should be presented in the form in which it was turned in. Where student work was turned in using electronic format, the program must provide the applications used to create the work in order for the team to review it. Where courses have not yet been offered, please provide course descriptions that include learning outcomes and their correlation to the SPC. The team room must also contain the following:
 - a. **Student Studio Work.** The majority of the visual material should be mounted on vertical surfaces, not placed in stacks. The presentation of studio work must represent the full range of approaches taken and assignments made by various faculty, and must include syllabi, project statements or assignments, handouts, bibliographies, and

corresponding samples of student drawings and models. In addition to final projects, in-progress work and student journals may be included, or the progress of one group of students may be illustrated.

- b. **Course Notebooks.** A notebook should be provided for each required and elective course, including studio courses. The notebook must contain a syllabus showing weekly activities and assignments, a bibliography, quizzes and examinations, where applicable, and corresponding samples of student work. The notebook must also contain a statistical summary of achievement by all students in the course.

During a candidacy visit, notebooks should be provided for courses that have not yet been offered, but for which syllabi and other materials have been prepared.

Notebooks may be presented electronically but only after consulting with the team chair. In the event a program chooses to present course notebooks electronically, it is the responsibility of the program to make this material available to the team in the team room.

- c. **Student Admissions and Advising Files.** These are copies of files for students admitted to the program, with identifying information removed, that demonstrate the process by which students are admitted to the program and how, if appropriate, advanced standing is determined (See *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*, Part II. Section 3).
- d. **Team Work Area.** The room must contain a conference table, with enough seating to accommodate the entire team.
- e. **Access.** The team room must be lockable; the only keys are to be given to the members of the team. No one other than the team is to be in the room, except at the team's invitation.
- f. **Equipment.** The room must contain the following: a telephone, document shredder, computer equipment as requested by the visiting team chair, Internet access, printer, LCD projector, and a sufficient number and type of electrical outlets.
- g. **Visit Agenda and Resumes.** The visit agenda and resumes of the team should be posted in the vicinity of the room.
- h. **Faculty Photos.** Faculty photos should be posted in the team room.
- i. **Matrices.**

- i. A large copy of the faculty credentials matrix for the current semester as described in Part II: Section 3 should be posted in the team room.
- ii. A large copy of the matrix, described in Part II: Section 1. Student Performance Criteria, of the *Conditions for Accreditation*, should be posted in the team room.

While a range of work must be displayed for each required course, it is not necessary to present the complete output of a studio, lecture, or seminar.

The organization of student work is left to the discretion of the program in consultation with the team chair, but each piece must cross-reference the course matrix and criteria it addresses, be dated, and indicate its assessment from minimum to high achievement. Ideally, examples by several different students or teams should be furnished.

Exhibits in spaces outside the team room can augment, but not substitute for, team room exhibits. Such exhibits should be identified in a manner consistent with team room displays, except that indications of minimum to high pass must be omitted in public displays. Class assignments must be available for all projects presented.

- j. **Faculty Exhibits** (See Section 5 of this document, for additional information).

f. **Candidacy-Visiting Team Report (C-VTR)**

- i. **Purpose.** The *C-VTR* serves multiple purposes. It is essential to the NAAB in making its decision regarding candidacy; it may serve to strengthen the program and its position within the institution; and it may inform current and prospective students about the nature and quality of the program. *C-VTRs* are considered advisory to the NAAB Board of Directors.
- ii. **Contents**
 - 1. The *C-VTR* conveys the visiting team's assessment of whether the program's plan for achieving initial accreditation is reasonable, capable of being implemented, and to what extent the program meets or is likely to meet the *Conditions for Accreditation*, as measured by the following:
 - a. Evidence of student learning.
 - b. The overall capacity of the program to fulfill its obligations to ensure student achievement.
 - c. The overall learning environment.

2. It establishes the degree to which the program is functioning in the manner described in the *APR-IC*. Therefore, the *C-VTR* must be concise and consistent and include documentation of the following:
 - a. The program's noteworthy qualities with respect to the *Conditions*.
 - b. The program's progress toward identifying and eliminating deficiencies with respect to the *Conditions*, especially the Student Performance Criteria.
 - c. Concerns about the program's future performance and/or capacity to achieve initial accreditation.
 - d. Comments that may be helpful in preparing for future candidacy reviews or initial accreditation visits.
- iii. **Format.** The *C-VTR*, generally speaking, includes the following:
 1. **Section I – Summary of Team Findings**
 - a. **Team Comments.** This is a narrative in which the team makes its general comments on the program, the *APR-IC*, and its observations and assessments with special attention to the items listed in 4.3.f.ii.a-d (above).
 - b. **Conditions Not Met/Not-Yet Met.** This is a list of the conditions and student performance criteria that the team determines are either not met or not-yet met.
 - c. **Causes for Concern.** This is a narrative that describes specific concerns of the team relative to not-yet-met conditions or to conditions that may have been met within the strict definition of the condition/criterion, but for which the team has concerns or questions. This should be a numbered list and each item should have a title. It is not necessary for a not-yet-met condition to generate a cause for concern; likewise conditions/criteria that are determined to be met, may have also generated concerns within the team. All of these should be documented in this section of the report.
 - d. **Progress since the Previous Visit/C-VTR**
 - i. In the case of the first visit to establish initial candidacy, this section is left blank.
 - ii. In the case of a visit for continuing candidacy, this section is completed. This is a narrative in which the current team reviews the program's progress against each of the not-yet-met conditions and causes of concern from the previous visit and *C-VTR*. It is the responsibility of the current team to determine, based on their review, whether previously not-yet-met conditions are now met and whether the causes of concern have been addressed.
 2. **Section II – Compliance with the Conditions for Accreditation**
 3. **Section III – Appendices**

- a. Appendix A. Program and institutional information from Part I of the *APR*.
 - e. Appendix B. Conditions Met with Distinction. This is a list of the conditions and student performance criteria for which the team wishes to commend the program. The team is encouraged to include a brief narrative for each one of the conditions or criteria listed here.
 - b. Appendix C. The team roster.
- 4. **Section IV—Report Signatures.** This page includes the signatures of all team members.
- iv. **Confidential Recommendation.** In a separate document, the team transmits a recommendation on initial candidacy to the NAAB Board of Directors. This recommendation is signed by all members of the team. The recommendation will also include a recommendation as to the length of time until the next visit either for continuing candidacy or initial accreditation. This document is considered confidential in perpetuity and is non-binding on the Board. This document is to be transmitted not later than 30 calendar days after the visit ends.
- v. **Review/Acceptance/Transmittal by the Team.** The team chair must transmit a final draft of the *C-VTR* to the NAAB office not later than 30 calendar days after the visit ends. During the interim, the team chair is responsible for completing the draft and collecting additional input or suggested text from the other members of the team.
- vi. **Review by NAAB staff.** Upon receiving the draft from the team chair, the NAAB staff reviews the draft report and makes corrections for grammar, spelling, and punctuation. In addition the report is reviewed for completeness and comprehension and to ensure the team has not offered advice or recommendations for changes or modifications to the program. Any requests for clarification or adjustments are reviewed with the team chair. Once any changes have been made or approved by the chair, the draft is sent to the program administrator.
- vii. **Corrections of fact.** The program administrator is then asked to review the draft *C-VTR* to make corrections of fact only. These corrections are to be transmitted to the NAAB staff, who, in turn review the corrections with the chair. The team chair has 10 calendar days to accept the corrections of fact and resubmit a final *C-VTR*.
- viii. **Optional response.** The final *C-VTR* is transmitted to the program administrator who has the option to write a response.
- ix. **Dates and deadlines**
 - 1. 30 days after the visit ends: team chair sends draft *C-VTR* and confidential recommendation to NAAB staff.
 - 2. NAAB staff completes the initial edits and corrections in consultation with the chair, and sends the draft *C-VTR* to the program administrator.
 - 3. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the draft *C-VTR*, program submits corrections of fact. Corrections sent after the deadline will not be accepted.

4. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the corrections of fact, the staff and team chair accept or reject corrections and complete the final *C-VTR*.
 5. NAAB staff transmit the final *C-VTR* to the program administrator for an optional response.
 6. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the final *C-VTR*, the program sends its optional response to NAAB offices. Responses sent after the deadline will not be forwarded to the Board.
 7. Not later than 21 calendar days before the next meeting of the NAAB Board of Directors, NAAB staff prepare the final report package for Board of Directors review. This package contains the following documents in this order:
 - a. An executive summary.
 - b. Final *C-VTR*.
 - c. Confidential recommendation.
 - d. Optional program response.
- g. **Decision of the Board of Directors.** At its next regularly scheduled meeting, the final report package, including the confidential recommendation is presented to the Board of Directors for a decision.
- h. **Transmitting the Decision of the Board of Directors.** Within 14 calendar days of a Board decision regarding a term of initial candidacy, a letter announcing the decision is sent to the president of the institution, with copies to the program administrator, the team chair, and the team members. This letter is sent by overnight delivery. Decisions to deny candidacy are not subject to reconsideration or appeal. The letter transmitting a decision to deny initial candidacy will include advice for reapplying.
- i. **Confidentiality.** The team and any non-voting members must maintain strict confidentiality with respect to materials reviewed, interviews conducted, and team deliberations, including the team's recommendation on a term of candidacy in perpetuity. The team bases its assessment of the program, in part, on interviews with various constituencies of the program. All individual and group interviews are confidential, and the information obtained from them is for the exclusive use of the team in preparing its report and recommendation.

Before the candidacy decision, both the NAAB and the program are prohibited from making either the *APR* or the *C-VTR* available to the collateral organizations or the public.

- j. **Public Disclosure of Accreditation Outcomes**
- i. After the candidacy decision, the program is required to disseminate the *APR-IC*, the final *C-VTR* and all attachments, the current editions of the *Conditions* and the *Procedures* and any addenda. These documents must be housed together in the architecture library and be freely accessible to all.

- ii. Unless written permission is obtained from the NAAB, the program may disseminate only complete copies of the *Conditions* and the *Procedures* and any addenda and the *C-VTR*.
 - iii. The program is required to provide faculty and incoming students with access to the current student performance criteria and related accreditation documents. (See *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*, Part II: Section 4. Public Information).
 - iv. The NAAB makes available in its office the *APRs* and the *VTRs* of all accredited programs, candidate programs, or programs that have lost accreditation. These are available to the public by appointment. Beginning in 2011, the NAAB will publish all *VTRs* at www.naab.org after accreditation decisions are made. These will be published without the confidential recommendation of the team.
 - v. The accreditation decisions for a given year are published in the annual *Report on Accreditation in Architecture Education*. In addition they are made available to the collateral organizations and the public, and to other organizations upon request.
 - vi. .
 - vii. Within 30 calendar days of a decision to deny candidacy, the NAAB will notify the collateral organizations and the appropriate regional accrediting agency.
4. **Subsequent Evaluations.** Continuation of candidacy is subject to submission of Annual Statistical Reports (Section 10), reviews, and visits at two-year intervals until initial accreditation is achieved. The reporting, team composition, and visit requirements for each subsequent visit are the same as for initial candidacy.

SECTION 4. PROCEDURES FOR INITIAL ACCREDITATION

Once a program has achieved initial candidacy and completed a minimum number of years in candidacy status, it is eligible to apply for initial accreditation of its professional degree program. For institutions that already have at least one NAAB-accredited professional degree program, some of these steps may be waived or modified. Generally, the steps are as follows:

- Request for initial accreditation
- Initial accreditation visit

Throughout the process, there are points of review by the NAAB staff and the NAAB Board of Directors.

All visits for initial accreditation take place in the fall following the graduation of the first cohort of students to complete the program.

Terms of initial accreditation may only be three years. See Section 2.2

In order to meet the education requirement set forth by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards, an applicant for an NCARB Certificate must hold a professional degree in architecture from a program accredited by the NAAB; the degree must have been awarded not more than two years prior to initial accreditation.

The “two-year rule,” as it is sometimes called, is promulgated by NCARB. The full text can be found in the *Handbook for Interns and Architects*, Chapter 1, in the statement defining the education requirement for an NCARB Certificate.

“You must hold a professional degree in architecture from a program accredited by the National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB) or the Canadian Architectural Certification Board (CACB/CCCA) no later than two years after your graduation, or hold a professional degree in architecture, certified by the CACB, from a Canadian university.”

In practical terms, this means that if a program receives an initial term of accreditation effective January 1, 2008, for example, individuals who graduated after January 1, 2006, are considered to have met the education requirement for an NCARB Certificate. However, meeting the education requirement for the NCARB Certificate may not be equivalent to meeting the education requirement for registration in a specific jurisdiction.

1. Eligibility for Initial Accreditation

- a. Programs seeking initial accreditation for a first professional degree program in architecture that **do not** currently offer a NAAB-accredited degree program must have by the time of the visit for initial accreditation:
 - i. Completed no less than four years in continuous candidacy.
 - ii. One graduating class that has completed the entire professional degree program for which accreditation is sought. This class or cohort must have graduated not more than one year prior to the year in which the initial accreditation visit is scheduled (e.g., for visits in 2011, the first cohort must have graduated in 2010).

- b. Programs that already have at least one NAAB-accredited professional degree program must have:
 - i. No less than two years in continuous candidacy.
 - ii. A six-year term of accreditation, without a focused evaluation², for the pre-existing accredited professional degree program in architecture.
 - iii. One graduating class that has completed the entire professional degree program for which accreditation is sought. This class or cohort must have graduated not more than one year prior to the year in which the initial accreditation visit is scheduled (e.g., for visits in 2011, the first cohort must have graduated in 2010).
 - iv. It is the responsibility of the program, not NAAB, to inform students of the status of their degree program(s) relative to accreditation and whether the program is on schedule to achieve initial accreditation (See Condition II.4 and Appendix 5 of *The 2009 NAAB Conditions for Accreditation*).
2. **Official Request for Initial Accreditation.** Institutions seeking initial accreditation for a professional degree program in architecture that has been granted candidacy status must first notify the NAAB of their desire to be granted an initial term of accreditation.
- a. To initiate the process for achieving initial accreditation, the program must formally request the NAAB to schedule a visit for initial accreditation. The request is due not later than March 1 of the year prior to the year in which the visit for initial accreditation is requested. In making a request for initial accreditation, the program effectively forfeits any remaining time in the six-year candidacy. For example, if a program has completed four years in candidacy and requests initial accreditation and initial accreditation is denied, then the program must begin the process again with an application for candidacy.
 - b. The request must include the following:
 - i. A written request from the chief academic officer of the institution to schedule a visit for initial accreditation of the professional degree program in architecture. The letter should include the specific degree name (e.g., B. Arch., M. Arch., or D. Arch.) including pre-requisites (e.g., M. Arch. (preprofessional degree plus 60 graduate credits)).
 - ii. A copy of the most recent decision letter from the NAAB.
 - iii. A copy of the most recent decision letter from the recognized, U.S. regional accrediting agency for the institution (see *NAAB 2009 Conditions for Accreditation* Part II, Section 2.1, Regional Accreditation).
 - iv. A brief assessment of the progress against the *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation* with specific attention to providing evidence that the plan will be fully implemented by the time of the site visit for initial accreditation.
 - v. The request must be submitted electronic format only.
 - 1. Requests are limited to 75 pages including all supplemental information.

² Programs with focused evaluations scheduled for 2013, 2014, or 2015 may not apply for initial accreditation of any new program until after the next accreditation decision on the existing program.

2. The request is to be sent either in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF and is limited to 3 MBs.
 3. Applications are to be addressed to the Accreditation Manager, NAAB by email: info@naab.org with a copy to cpair@naab.org. Please include “Application for Initial Accreditation Site Visit” in the subject line.
3. **Initial Accreditation.** Once the application has been reviewed for completeness, the program will be added to the annual visit schedule for the next calendar year. Visits for initial accreditation are conducted in the fall only and are similar to those for continuing accreditation. The first step is the preparation of an *Architecture Program Report for Initial Accreditation (APR-IA)* and preparation for a visiting team. The *APR-IA*, selection of the visiting team, and other elements of the site visit are described below.
- a. **Architecture Program Report for Initial Accreditation**
 - i. **Purpose.** The *Architecture Program Report for Initial Accreditation (APR-IA)* serves both as a self-study for the program and as the principle source document for the team conducting the visit.
 - ii. **Content.** For programs seeking initial accreditation, the *APR-IA* should:
 1. Present complete and accurate information to demonstrate the extent to which the program is already in compliance with each of the NAAB Conditions.
 2. Present complete and accurate information to demonstrate how the program has used its *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation* to achieve compliance with the *NAAB Conditions*. Areas and levels of excellence will vary among candidate programs as will approaches to meeting the conditions and reporting requirements. While programs are encouraged to identify those areas in which they believe they excel, positive aspects of a degree program in one area cannot override deficiencies in another.
 - iii. **Format.** Schools must use the following format for the *APR-IA*. Each part should be used to describe how the program’s unique qualities, its *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation*, and its students’ achievements satisfy the conditions that all programs must meet in order to become accredited. For additional information on the contents of the *APR-IA* see, *NAAB Conditions for Accreditation*, 2009 edition.
 1. Part One – Institutional Support and Commitment to Continuous Improvement
 - a. 1.1 Identity & Self-Assessment
 - b. 1.2 Resources
 - c. 1.3 Institutional Characteristics
 - i. Statistical Reports
 - ii. *Annual Statistical Reports*³
 - iii. Faculty Credentials
 2. Part Two – Educational Outcomes and Curriculum
 - a. 2.1 Student Performance Criteria

³ Information from 2008 forward will be provided by the NAAB from its Annual Report Submission System.

- b. 2.2 Curricular Framework
 - c. 2.3 Evaluation of Preparatory/Preprofessional Education
 - d. 2.4 Public Information
3. Part Three – Progress Since the Last Site Visit
 - a. 3.1 Summary of Responses to the Team Findings
 - i. Responses to Conditions Not Met/Not-Yet Met
 - ii. Responses to Causes of Concern
 - b. 3.2 Summary of Responses to Changes in the NAAB Conditions⁴
 4. Part Four – Supplemental Information
 - a. 4.1 Description of policies and procedures for evaluating student work
 - b. 4.2 Course Descriptions (see *2009 Conditions*, Appendix 1 for format)
 - c. 4.3 Faculty Resumes (see *2009 Conditions*, Appendix 2 for format)
 - d. 4.4 Visiting Team Report (VTR) from the previous visit
 - e. 4.5 Catalog (or URL for retrieving online catalogs and related materials)
 5. *APR-IAs* may only be submitted in electronic format (see below).
 6. *APR-IAs* are limited to 150 pages for Parts 1-3 and 100 pages for Part 4. The page limit does not include the *C-VTR* from the previous visit or the institution's catalog.
 - a. The *APR* is to be prepared in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF and is limited to 7 MBs.
 - b. *APRs* are to be uploaded through the NAAB's integrated information management system.
- iv. **Review and acceptance**
1. The *APR-IA* is first reviewed by the NAAB staff to ensure it is complete.
 2. The *APR-IA* is then reviewed by the team chair for completeness and clarity, to discern the complexity of the program's structure, and to identify issues that may affect the duration and agenda for the site visit. The visiting team chair's review results in a recommendation to the staff to do one of the following:
 - a. Accept the *APR-IA* and schedule the site visit.
 - b. Accept the *APR-IA*, schedule the site visit, and request additional information before the visit.
 - c. Require additional information to be submitted not less than 60 days before the scheduled visit date. The date will be confirmed after the additional information is received, reviewed and determined to be acceptable.
 - d. Reject the *APR-IA* and require a new report be submitted for review not less than 45 days prior to the date for the

⁴ This section is intended to give programs the opportunity to document how they have modified the program or resources in response to changes in the *2009 Conditions* as compared to the *Conditions* in effect at the time of the most recent visit for candidacy.

visit. If the new *APR-IA* is considered acceptable, the visit will take place.

- i. Should the chair recommend the *APR-IA* be rejected, the *APR-IA* and the chair's review are brought before the NAAB Board of Directors for review and action.
- ii. Should the school fail to deliver an acceptable amended or replacement *APR-IA*, the chief academic officer of the institution is notified that the initial accreditation visit will have to be postponed until the next semester. A new chair will be appointed and a new team assembled.

v. **Dates/Deadlines**

1. *APR-IAs* are due in the NAAB offices by March 1 of the calendar year in which the initial accreditation visit is scheduled to take place.
2. The review must be completed before the regularly scheduled summer meeting of the NAAB Directors.
3. New *APR-IAs* (if they are requested) are due not less than 45 days prior to the dates for visit.

- vi. **Dissemination of the *APR-IA* to the Public Prior to the Visit.** To stimulate broad-based participation, the program is encouraged to distribute the *APR-IA* within the school community before and during the site visit. However, the *APR-IA* is not to be shared with the general public until after the final decision is communicated by the NAAB (see Section 5.4).

b. **Visiting Teams**

i. **Composition of Teams**

1. Teams are composed of at least four individuals, each of whom represents one of the four constituent organizations of the NAAB: the AIA, AIAS, ACSA, and NCARB. One of these individuals will be nominated by the NAAB Directors to serve as the team chair.
2. Teams are composed by the NAAB staff after the date for the visit has been set by the team chair and the program administrator. The NAAB makes every effort to ensure the team is balanced for geography, gender, race/ethnicity, and accreditation experience. In addition, the staff makes every effort to ensure that no one proposed as a member of a visiting team has a real or perceived conflict of interest as defined below. To maintain uniform quality of visits and *Visiting Team Reports (VTRs)*, teams are selected so that not more than one person, excluding the AIAS representative, is on his or her first visit.
3. Team members are advised of their preliminary selection for a specific visit with the understanding that final approval of the team is the responsibility of the program.

ii. **Team Chair**

1. **Role.** The team chair is responsible for the following:

- a. Negotiating the date for the visit with the program administrator.
 - b. Reviewing the *APR-IA* and identifying needs for additional information or requesting changes to the report.
 - c. Conducting a mandatory, pre-visit conference call with all members of the team to establish expectations and special requirements or circumstances. This call is arranged by the NAAB in consultation with the chair.
 - d. Developing the agenda for the visit with the program administrator.
 - e. Consulting with the program administrator on the format and content of the team room.
 - f. Consulting with the executive director on the approval of a proposed non-voting team member. The team chair has the discretion to dismiss a non-voting team member if he/she determines, during the course of the visit that the non-voting member has a real or potential conflict of interest or is not prepared to fully participate in the visit.
 - g. Preparing the final draft of the *Visiting Team Report* (see below) and sending it to the NAAB offices within 30 days of the visit.
 - h. Securing the signatures of all team members on the report, including the non-voting member.
 - i. Securing the signatures of the team on the confidential recommendation, excluding the non-voting member (see more below).
 - j. Approving corrections of fact submitted by the program after reviewing the draft *VTR*.
 - k. Ensuring the team's compliance with the *Procedures for Accreditation* and appropriate standards of conduct during the visit.
 - l. Attend team chair training.-
2. **Selection.** Visiting team chairs are nominated by the Executive Committee before the site visit. The selection is based on a review of the resumes of former visiting team chairs and experienced visiting team members. Visiting team chairs may also be selected from among former directors of the NAAB. NAAB staff notify program administrators once a chair has been nominated. The administrator may challenge the nomination for potential conflicts of interest. Once the chair has been confirmed, the administrator and the chair work together to select a date for the visit.
- iii. **Non-voting members**
 1. **Role.** To facilitate communication and foster a spirit of collaboration, the program is encouraged to nominate one non-voting member to participate in the site visit.
 2. **Selection and Approval**
 - a. The program may nominate one non-voting member for an initial accreditation visit.

- b. The nomination must be approved by the executive director in consultation with the team chair. Nominations must be accompanied by a resume or vitae and a description of the relationship between the individual and the program.
- c. Non-voting team members cannot be proposed less than 21 days before the start of the visit..
- d. A program non-voting member may be a member of the architecture community or an *alumnus/a* nominated by the program to offer insight into its unique qualities or history. Individuals who have graduated from the program during its candidacy are considered *per se* to have a real conflict of interest and may not serve on the visiting team for initial accreditation in any capacity.
- e. Any individual who had or has a contractual or consulting relationship to the program at any time, whether paid or voluntary may not participate as a non-voting team member.
- f. Non-voting team members can only be nominated after a program has approved the membership of its visiting team.
- g. No individual may serve as a non-voting team member more than once in any three-year period.
- h. On rare occasions and for training purposes only, the NAAB may ask the program and the visiting team chair to include a special, additional, non-voting team member. On teams for initial accreditation these may include prospective team members, non-voting members from affiliated accrediting agencies, staff from collateral organizations, or NAAB staff members. Such requests must be approved by the program administrator and the team chair.

3. Participation

- a. The non-voting member must participate throughout the entire site visit including orientation, entry meetings, evidence confirmation, and exit meetings. He/she is encouraged to offer comments and advice to the visiting team chair and team members,
- b. The non-voting member does not participate in the formal team decisions concerning the recommendation on accreditation.
- c. The non-voting member may be present at the last team work session solely at the discretion of the visiting team chair.
- d. The non-voting member must agree in advance on the principles of confidentiality and conflicts of interest (See Section 9) as outlined below.
- e. The non-voting team member must complete an online NAAB training program.

- iv. **Notification to Program.** The NAAB staff notify the program administrator when a full team has been assembled. The program administrator is responsible for determining whether any member of the team poses a real or potential conflict of interest.
- v. **Conflicts of Interest.** The NAAB seeks to avoid any real or perceived conflict of interest in its procedures, deliberations, and accrediting decisions. See Section 9 for additional information.
- vi. **Challenges to Team Members.** Programs may challenge up to two members of a proposed visiting team, including the chair, under the terms of Section 9, Conflicts of Interest. Such challenges are to be made in writing within 10 days of receiving notice of the nomination of a chair or the membership of a visiting team. Challenges will be reviewed by the NAAB executive director and accreditation manager. Where challenges are permitted to stand, a new team member will be assigned. Challenges will not be accepted less than 21 days prior to the start of an accreditation visit.

c. **Site Visits**

i. **Scheduling the Dates for the Visit**

- 1. The dates for a visit for initial accreditation are set by the team chair and the program administrator in consultation.
- 2. Generally, these visits take place between the first week of September and the last weekend in October each year.
- 3. Once a team has been assembled and proposed, the dates for a visit cannot be changed.
- 4. Visits for initial accreditation begin on Saturday evening and end the following Wednesday at noon.
- 5. All members of the team are expected to participate in the visit the entire time.
- 6. If the program seeking initial accreditation is offered in more than one site, the team chair may be scheduled to arrive early in order to visit other locations for the program. These exceptions are agreed to by the team chair and the program administrator with advice from the NAAB staff. See Section 8 for additional information on visits with special circumstances.

ii. **Schedule/Agenda for the Visit.** The schedule for a visit for initial accreditation is the same as for continuing accreditation. See Section 5 for this information.

iii. **Team Room.** The purpose, contents, access, standards, and equipment for a team room for a visit for initial accreditation are the same as for a visit for continuing accreditation. See Section 5 for this information.

iv. **Faculty Exhibits.** The program must provide evidence through a faculty exhibit⁵ that the faculty, taken as a whole, reflects the range of knowledge and experience necessary to promote student achievement as described in the *Conditions for Accreditation*. This exhibit should include highlights

⁵ The faculty exhibit should be set up near or in the team room. To the extent the exhibit is incorporated into the team room, it should not be presented in a manner that interferes with the team's ability to view and evaluate student work.

of faculty professional development and achievement since the last candidacy visit.

d. **Visiting Team Report (VTR)**

- i. **Purpose.** The *VTR* serves multiple purposes. It is essential to the NAAB in making its accreditation decision; it may serve to strengthen the program and its position within the institution; and it may inform current and prospective students about the nature and quality of the program. *VTRs* are considered advisory to the NAAB Board of Directors.
- ii. **Contents.** The *VTR* conveys the visiting team's assessment of whether the program has fully implemented the *Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation*; whether the program meets the *Conditions for Accreditation*, as measured by evidence of student learning, the overall capacity of the program to fulfill its obligations to ensure student achievement, and the overall learning environment. It establishes the degree to which the program is functioning in the manner described in the *APR*. Therefore, the *VTR* must be concise and consistent and include documentation of the following:
 1. The program's noteworthy qualities with respect to the *Conditions*.
 2. The program's deficiencies with respect to the *Conditions*, especially the Student Performance Criteria.
 3. Concerns about the program's future performance and/or capacity to meet its long-term strategic objectives.
 4. Comments that may be helpful in preparing for future accreditation visits.
- iii. **Format.** The *VTR*, generally speaking, includes the following:
 1. **Section I – Summary of Team Findings**
 - a. **Team Comments.** This is a narrative in which the team makes its general comments on the program, the *APR*, and its observations and assessments with special attention to the items in 5.3.ii.1-4 (above).
 - b. **Conditions Not Met.** This is a list of the conditions and student performance criteria that the team determines are not met.
 - c. **Causes of Concern.** This is a narrative that describes specific concerns of the team relative to unmet conditions or to conditions that may have been met within the strict definition of the condition/criterion, but for which the team has concerns or questions. It is not necessary for an unmet condition to generate a cause for concern; likewise conditions/criteria that are determined to be met may have also generated concerns within the team.
 - d. **Progress since the Previous Site Visit/VTR.** This is a narrative in which the current team reviews the program's progress against each of the not-met or not-yet-met conditions and causes of concern from the previous visit and *VTR*. It is the responsibility of the current team to determine, based on their review, whether previously not-

met or not-yet-met conditions are now met and whether the causes of concern have been addressed.

2. **Section II – Compliance with the 2009 Conditions for Accreditation**
 3. **Section III – Appendices**
 - a. Appendix A. Program and institutional information from Part I: Section 1 of the *APR*.
 - b. Appendix B. Conditions Met with Distinction. This is a list of the conditions and student performance criteria for which the team wishes to commend the program. The team is encouraged to include a brief narrative for each one of the conditions or criteria listed here.
 - c. Appendix C. The team roster.
 4. **Section IV – Report Signatures.** This page includes the signatures of all team members, including the non-voting member(s).
- iv. **Confidential Recommendation.** In a separate document, the team transmits a recommendation on a term of initial accreditation to the NAAB Board of Directors. This document is signed by all members of the team, except the non-voting member(s) (see Section 2.2 for the term of initial accreditation). This document is confidential in perpetuity and non-binding on the Board. It must be transmitted not more than 30 days after the visit ends.
 - v. **Review/Acceptance/Transmittal by the Team.** The team chair must transmit a final draft of the *VTR* to the NAAB office not later than 30 calendar days after the visit ends. During the interim, the team chair is responsible for completing the draft and collecting additional input or suggested text from the other members of the team.
 - vi. **Review by NAAB Staff.** Upon receiving the draft from the team chair, the NAAB staff reviews the draft report and makes corrections for grammar, spelling, and punctuation. In addition the report is reviewed for completeness and comprehension and to ensure the team has not offered advice or recommendations for changes or modifications to the program. If there are concerns or requests for additional review, the draft is returned to the chair. Once the chair makes the adjustments to the draft, it is sent, without the confidential recommendation, to the program administrator.
 - vii. **Corrections of fact.** The program administrator is asked to review the draft *VTR* to make corrections of fact only. These corrections are to be transmitted to the NAAB staff, who, in turn will review the corrections of fact with the team chair. The team chair has 10 calendar days to accept the corrections of fact and resubmit a final *VTR*.
 - viii. **Optional response.** The final *VTR* is transmitted to the program administrator who may choose to write a response.
 - ix. **Dates and deadlines**
 1. 30 days after the visit ends: team chair sends draft *VTR* and confidential recommendation to NAAB staff.

2. NAAB staff completes the initial edits and corrections, in consultation with the chair, and then sends the draft *VTR* to the program administrator.
 3. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the draft *VTR*, program submits corrections of fact. Corrections received after the deadline will not be accepted.
 4. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the corrections of fact, the team chair accepts or rejects corrections and submits final *VTR* to NAAB staff.
 5. NAAB staff transmits the final *VTR* to the program administrator for an optional response.
 6. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the final *VTR*, the program sends its optional response to NAAB offices. Responses received after the deadline will not be forwarded to the Board.
 7. Not later than 21 calendar days before the next meeting of the NAAB Board of Directors, NAAB staff prepares the final report package for Board of Directors review. This package contains these documents in the following order:
 - a. An executive summary.
 - b. The final *VTR*.
 - c. Confidential recommendation.
 - d. Program response, if one is submitted.
- e. **Decision of the Board of Directors.** At its next regularly scheduled meeting, the final report package, is presented to the Board of Directors for a decision.
- f. **Transmitting the Decision of the Board of Directors.** Within 14 calendar days of a Board decision regarding a term of initial accreditation, a letter announcing the decision is sent to the president of the institution, with copies to the program administrator, the team chair, and the team members. This letter is sent by overnight delivery . The institution has 14 calendar days from the receipt of a decision letter to request reconsideration. See Section 13.
- g. **Confidentiality.** The team and any non-voting members must maintain strict confidentiality with respect to materials reviewed, interviews conducted, and team deliberations, including the team's recommendation on a term of initial accreditation in perpetuity. The team bases its assessment of the program, in part, on interviews with various constituencies of the program. All individual and group interviews are confidential, and the information obtained from them is for the exclusive use of the team in preparing its report and recommendation.

Before the accreditation decision, both the NAAB and the program are prohibited from making either the *APR* or the *VTR* available to the collateral organizations or the public.

4. **Public Disclosure of Accreditation Outcomes**

- a. After the accreditation decision, the program is required to disseminate the *APR-IA*, the final *VTR* and pertinent attachments, the current editions of the *Conditions*

and the *Procedures* and any addenda, and, eventually, the *Interim Reports* and the NAAB response to the *Interim Report*. These documents must be housed together in the architecture library and be freely accessible to all.

- b. Unless written permission is obtained from the NAAB, the program may disseminate only complete copies of the *Conditions* and the *Procedures* and any addenda and the *VTR*.
 - c. The program is required to inform faculty and incoming students that access to the current student performance criteria and any addenda may be read or downloaded from the NAAB Web site.
 - d. The NAAB makes available in its office the *APRs* and the *VTRs* of all accredited programs, candidate programs, or programs that have lost accreditation. These are available to the public by appointment. Beginning in 2011, the NAAB will publish all *VTRs* at www.naab.org after accreditation decisions are made. These will be published without the confidential recommendation of the team.
 - e. The accreditation decisions for a given year are published in the annual *Report on Accreditation in Architecture Education*. In addition they are made available to the collateral organizations and the public, and to other organizations upon request.
 - f. Within 30 calendar days of a decision to deny initial accreditation, the NAAB will notify the collateral organizations and the appropriate regional accrediting agency.
5. **First Term of Continuing Accreditation Following Initial Accreditation:** Programs that achieve a three-year term of initial accreditation must receive a six-year term of accreditation as a result of the Board's decision following the first visit for continuing accreditation or accreditation may be revoked.

The team for a first visit for continuing accreditation subsequent to a term of initial accreditation will be composed of experienced team members and, to the extent possible, may include a former NAAB Director.

SECTION 5. PROCEDURES FOR CONTINUING ACCREDITATION

Today, the NAAB's system for accreditation of *professional degree programs* within institutions requires a self-assessment by the accredited degree program, an evaluation of that assessment by the NAAB, and a site visit by an NAAB team that concludes with a recommendation to the NAAB as to the term of accreditation. The decision regarding the term of accreditation is then made by the NAAB Board of Directors.

For programs that have achieved an initial accreditation or are seeking continuing accreditation of their NAAB-accredited degree programs, the sequence is essentially the same.

- Program submits an *Architecture Program Report*.
- NAAB assigns a visiting team and a visit is conducted.
- The visiting team prepares a report and makes a confidential recommendation to the NAAB Board.
- The Board makes the final decision.

Once the Board has made a decision regarding a term of accreditation, continuing accreditation is subject to the submission of *Annual Statistical Reports* (See Section 10) and an *Interim Report* (See Section 11).

1. Architecture Program Report

- a. **Purpose.** *The Architecture Program Report (APR)* serves both as a self-study for the program and as the principal source document for conducting the visit.
- b. **Content.** The *APR* is, largely, a narrative document that is comprehensive and self-analytical. It is expected to succinctly describe how a program meets each of the conditions for accreditation. However, to the extent that photographs, tables, or other types of information support the program's narrative, they should also be included, but not to the detriment of the narrative. Areas and levels of excellence will vary among accredited degree programs as will approaches to meeting the conditions and reporting requirements. Nevertheless, schools must present complete and accurate information to demonstrate compliance with each of the NAAB Conditions; positive aspects of a degree program in one area cannot override deficiencies in another.
- c. **Format.** Schools must use the prescribed format for the *APR*. Each part is intended to allow a school to describe how the program's *unique qualities* and how its students' achievements satisfy the conditions that all accredited programs must meet. *APRs* are limited to 150 (or 75 double-sided) pages excluding all supplemental information. Supplemental materials are limited to 100 pages (or 50 double-sided pages) and do not include the *VTR* from the previous visit or the institution's catalog. The *APR* is to be delivered through the NAAB's integrated information management system in either Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF formats and is limited to a 7 MB file size. *APRs that exceed the file size or the page limits cannot be uploaded. Hard copy APRs are no longer accepted.*
 - i. Part One – Institutional Support and Commitment to Continuous Improvement

1. 1.1 Identity & Self-Assessment
2. 1.2 Resources
3. 1.3 Institutional Characteristics
 - a. Statistical Reports
 - b. *Annual Reports*⁶
 - c. Faculty Credentials
- ii. Part Two – Educational Outcomes and Curriculum
 1. 2.1 Student Performance Criteria
 2. 2.2 Curricular Framework
 3. 2.3 Evaluation of Preparatory/Preprofessional Education
 4. 2.4 Public Information
- iii. Part Three – Progress Since the Last Site Visit
 1. 3.1 Summary of Responses to the Team Findings
 - a. Responses to Conditions Not Met
 - b. Responses to Causes of Concern
 2. 3.2 Summary of Responses to Changes in the NAAB Conditions⁷
- iv. Part Four – Supplemental Information
 1. 4.1 Description of policies and procedures for evaluating student work
 2. 4.2 Course Descriptions (see Appendix 1 for format)
 3. 4.3 Faculty Resumes (see Appendix 2 for format)
 4. 4.4 *Visiting Team Report (VTR)* from the previous visit and *Focused Evaluation Team Reports* from any subsequent Focused Evaluations scheduled to take place before December 31, 2015.
 5. 4.5 Catalog (or URL for retrieving online catalogs and related materials)
 6. 4.6 Response to the Offsite Program Questionnaire (See Section 8)
- v. *APRs* may be submitted in electronic format only (see above).

The specific contents of the *APR* with respect to each element of Part One and Part Two are outlined in the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*.

d. Review and acceptance of the *APR*.

- i. The *APR* is first reviewed by the NAAB staff to ensure it is complete.
- ii. The *APR* is then reviewed by the team chair for completeness and clarity, to discern the complexity of the program's structure, and to identify issues that affect the size of the team or length and locales of the site visit. The visiting team chair's review results in a recommendation to the staff to do one of the following:
 1. Accept the *APR* and schedule the site visit.

⁶Statistical information from 2008 forward will be provided by the NAAB from its Annual Report Submission System. Programs are expected to provide the narrative reports and the NAAB responses to the narrative reports.

⁷ This section is intended to give programs the opportunity to document how they have modified the program or resources in response to changes in the *2009 Conditions* as compared to the *Conditions* in effect at the time of the last visit.

2. Accept the *APR*, schedule the site visit, and request additional information before the visit.
3. Require additional information to be submitted by November 15 and schedule the site visit after the additional information is received, reviewed and determined to be acceptable.
4. Reject the *APR* and require a new report be submitted for review by November 15. If the new *APR* is considered acceptable, the visit will be scheduled.
 - a. Should the chair recommend the *APR* be rejected, the *APR* and the chair's review are brought before the NAAB Board of Directors for review and action.
 - b. Should the school fail to deliver an acceptable amended or replacement *APR* by 15 November, the chief academic officer of the institution is notified that the site visit cannot proceed and that accreditation may lapse.

e. **Dates/Deadlines**

- i. *APRs* must be uploaded on or before September 7 of the calendar year immediately preceding the year in which accreditation is scheduled to expire (e.g., For visits scheduled in spring 2013 the *APR* is due September 7, 2012).
- ii. Review of *APRs* must be completed before the regularly scheduled fall meeting of the NAAB Board of Directors.
- iii. If a complete revision of the *APR* is requested by the team chair (see below), the revised *APR* is due November 15.

- f. **Dissemination of the *APR* to the public prior to the visit.** To stimulate broad-based participation, the program is encouraged to distribute the *APR* within the school community before and during the site visit. However, the *APR* is not to be shared with the general public until after the final decision is communicated by the NAAB (see Section 3.5).

2. **Visiting Teams**

a. **Composition of Teams**

- i. Generally, teams are composed of at least four individuals, each of whom represents one of the four constituent organizations of the NAAB: the AIA, AIAS, ACSA, and NCARB. One member of the team will be nominated by the NAAB Executive Committee to serve as the team chair.
- ii. Teams are composed by the NAAB staff after the date for the visit has been set by the team chair and the program administrator. The NAAB makes every effort to ensure the team is balanced for geography, gender, race/ethnicity, and accreditation experience. In addition, the staff makes every effort to ensure that no one proposed as a member of a visiting team has a real or perceived conflict of interest as defined in Section 9. Every effort is made to assemble teams in such a way as to ensure that no more than one person, excluding the AIAS representative, is on his or her first visit. This is not always possible.

- iii. Team members are advised of their preliminary selection for a specific visit with the understanding that final approval of the team is the responsibility of the program.

b. **Team Chair**

- i. **Role.** The team chair is responsible for the following:
 1. Negotiating the date for the visit with the program administrator.
 2. Reviewing the *APR* and identifying needs for additional information or requesting changes to the report.
 3. Developing the agenda for the visit with the program administrator.
 4. Consulting with the program administrator on the format and content of the team room.
 5. Consulting with the executive director on the approval of a proposed non-voting member. The team chair has the discretion to dismiss the non-voting team member if he/she determines, after the visit begins that the individual has a real or potential conflict of interest or is not prepared to fully participate in the visit.
 6. Leading a required pre-visit conference call with all members of the team to establish expectations for preparatory work prior to the visit, and special requirements or circumstances. This call is arranged by the NAAB in consultation with the chair.
 7. Preparing the final draft of the *Visiting Team Report* (see below) and sending it to the NAAB offices within 30 days of the last day of the visit.
 8. Securing the signatures of all team members on the report, including the non-voting member.
 9. Securing the signatures of the team on the confidential recommendation, excluding the non-voting member (see more below).
 10. Reviewing corrections and comments submitted by the NAAB staff.
 11. Approving corrections of fact submitted by the program after reviewing the draft *VTR*.
 12. Ensuring the team's compliance with the *Procedures for Accreditation* and appropriate standards of conduct during the visit.
 13. Attend team chair training.
- ii. **Selection.** Visiting team chairs are nominated by the Executive Committee before the site visit. The selection is based on a review of the resumes of former visiting team chairs and experienced visiting team members. Visiting team chairs may also be selected from among former directors of the NAAB. NAAB staff notify program administrators once a chair has been nominated. The administrator may challenge the nomination for potential conflicts of interest (See Section 9). Once the chair has been confirmed, the administrator and the chair work together to select a date for the visit.

c. **Non-voting member**

- i. **Role.** To facilitate communication and foster a spirit of collaboration, the program is encouraged to nominate one non-voting member to the visiting team.
- ii. **Selection and Approval**
 1. The program administrator may nominate one non-voting member.
 2. The nominations must be approved by the executive director in consultation with the team chair. Nominations must be accompanied by a resume or vitae and a brief description of the relationship between the individual and the program.
 3. Non-voting team members cannot be proposed less than 21 days before the start of a visit.
 4. A non-voting member may be a member of the architecture community or an *alumnus/a* nominated by the program administrator to offer insight into the program's unique qualities or history. Individuals who have graduated since the previous site visit are considered *per se* to have a real conflict of interest and may not participate on a visiting team in any capacity. Programs considering the use of *alumni/ae* in this role are encouraged to invite individuals who graduated at least 10 years prior to the visit.
 5. Any individual who had or has a contractual or consulting relationship to the program at any time, whether paid or voluntary may not participate as a non-voting team member.
 6. Non-voting team members may only be nominated after a program has approved the membership of its visiting team.
 7. No person may serve as a non-voting team members more than once in any three-year period.
 8. An individual who previously visited the program as a member of a NAAB visiting team may not serve as a non-voting team member on any subsequent visit.
 9. Occasionally, for training purposes, the NAAB may ask the program and the team chair to accept a special, additional, non-voting member. These individuals may be NAAB Directors, administrators from programs seeking candidacy or initial accreditation, prospective team members, foreign visitors, representatives of affiliated accrediting agencies, volunteer leaders or staff from collateral organizations, or NAAB staff members. These requests must be approved by the program administrator and the team chair.
 10. Any non-voting team member must complete an online training program before the visit begins.
- iii. **Participation**
 1. The non-voting member(s) must participate throughout the entire site visit including orientation, entry meetings, evidence confirmation, and exit meetings. They are encouraged to offer

comments and advice to the visiting team chair, team members, program, or institution.

2. Non-voting members do not participate in the team's decision concerning the recommendation on the term of accreditation.
 3. Non-voting members may be present at the last team work session solely at the discretion of the visiting team chair.
 4. All non-voting members must agree in advance to abide by the principles of confidentiality as outlined below and by the Conflict of Interest policies in Section 9.
- d. **Notification to Program.** The NAAB staff notify the program administrator when a full team has been assembled. The program administrator is responsible for determining whether any member of the team poses a real or potential conflict of interest.
- i. **Conflicts of Interest.** The NAAB seeks to avoid any real or perceived conflict of interest in its procedures, deliberations, and accrediting decisions. See Section 9 for additional information on Conflict of Interest.
 - ii. **Challenges to Team Members.** Programs may challenge no more than two members of a proposed visiting team, including the chair, under the terms of Section 9, Conflicts of Interest. Such challenges are to be made in writing within 10 days of receiving notice of the nomination of a team chair or the membership of a visiting team. Challenges will be reviewed by the NAAB executive director and accreditation manager. When challenges are permitted to stand, a new team member will be assigned. Challenges will not be accepted less than 21 days prior to the start of an accreditation visit.

3. Site Visits

a. Scheduling the Dates for the Visit

- i. The dates for a visit for continuing accreditation are set by the team chair in consultation with the program administrator.
- ii. Generally, these visits take place between the last week of January and the first week of April each year.
- iii. Visits for continuing accreditation begin on Saturday evening and end the following Wednesday at noon.
- iv. All members of the team are expected to participate in the visit the entire time.
- v. Additional days may be added if the program is offered in more than one site; likewise individual members of the team may be scheduled to participate for more days to visit other locations for the program. These exceptions are agreed to by the team chair and the program administrator with advice from the NAAB staff. See Section 8 for additional information on visits with special circumstances.
- vi. Dates for visits cannot be changed once a team has been assembled and proposed to the program.

- b. **Schedule/Agenda for Each Visit.** Each visit must include, at a minimum, the following:

i. **Prior to the Visit**

1. **Team Orientation.** Team members and non-voting members participate in a mandatory pre-visit conference call, in which the visiting team chair reviews the *APR*, *Conditions* and the *Procedures*, discusses visit protocols, and establishes expectations for each team member and how the team will work. Generally, this call will take place 14 days prior to the start of the visit.
2. **Review of the *APR*** (Team only). This review allows team members to discuss their initial reactions to the *APR*, to raise any initial concerns and to identify and prioritize the questions to be addressed during the visit. In light of this discussion, the visiting team chair outlines team assignments and may revise details of the agenda.
3. **Attend Team Training.** All team members are required to complete the NAAB Team Member Training program prior to the visit.

ii. **Onsite**

1. **Tours**

- a. **Physical Resources.** The school conducts a brief tour of the physical resources that support the professional degree program. This tour should include an explanation of how the team room is organized, the facilities the program uses, as well as, meetings with the personnel of media centers, workshops, and laboratories.
- b. **Library/Information Resources.** The library tour includes a meeting with the architecture librarian and visual resources professional to discuss their assessment of those components.

2. **Meetings** (NOTE: All meetings are confidential, informal discussions, **not** presentations.)

- a. **Staff.** This is a meeting with key staff of the academic unit and without any faculty or administrators present. Staff that attend this meeting should include but not be limited to administrative assistants, shop personnel, librarians, career placement professionals, advisors and others.
- b. **Program Head.** These include a discussion of issues arising from the *APR*, the program's strategic plan and self-assessment procedures, progress made since the previous site visit, any required changes to the visit agenda, and any requests for additional materials the team may need. These meetings are often held daily.
- c. **Entrance Meetings with the School or College Administrator, Chief Academic Officer, Faculty, and Students.** These are separate meetings and allow comparison of the views held by each constituency on the program's strengths and causes for concern or any issue raised by the visiting team, the program, or the institution.

- i. Meetings with faculty must be open to all ranks from the various curricular areas, including those from other disciplines supporting the program.
 - ii. Meetings with students, without the presence of any administrators, staff, or faculty, should be arranged so that all students can attend.
 - d. **Meeting with student representatives.** This is an informal gathering of a small group of student leaders, without the presence of any administrators, staff, or faculty, who may be officers in student organizations or elected to attend by their peers.
 - e. **Meeting with Graduates and Local Practitioners (Optional).** This meeting is optional. Attendees may include recent and past graduates, local registration board members, and representatives of the local AIA chapter.
3. **Review of Student and Faculty Exhibits.** Team members are individually and jointly responsible for assessing work in the team room and elsewhere.
 4. **Observation of Studios, Lectures, and Seminars.** The team may divide to attend scheduled classes and may use evenings to observe unscheduled studio activity.
 5. **Review of General Studies, Electives, and Related Programs.** This review includes meetings with faculty or administrators to discuss prerequisite general studies courses, minors or concentrations that students may pursue, and any programs or groups that have a significant relationship with the accredited degree program.
 6. **Review of Student Records and Transfer Credit Assessment.** The visiting team chair may request school and student records, which should be presented with names removed.
 7. **Debriefing Sessions.** Each evening, the team meets to evaluate its progress, adjust assignments, and assess the need for additional information.
 8. **Accreditation Deliberation and Drafting the VTR.** The last afternoon and evening of the site visit is devoted to developing the team's consensus on whether the program has met each of the NAAB conditions, drafting an assessment of the latter, and agreeing on the confidential recommendation to the NAAB Directors on a term of accreditation. By the end of the last work session, the *VTR* should be in a draft form and ready for editing by the visiting team chair.
 9. **Exit interviews.** The sequence of exit interviews is proscribed in order to ensure the team delivers its initial information to key leaders within the institution and the program before addressing the faculty, staff, and students in the program. These interviews are not to take place until the team has finished its deliberations. Further, the purpose of these interviews is to communicate the following:

- a. the conditions met with distinction,
- b. the conditions not met,
- c. causes of concern, and
- d. any general team comments or acknowledgements.

These interviews are led by the chair; other members of the team may be called upon by the chair to comment. All members of the team are advised to avoid making any comments that may be interpreted as offering advice or other recommendations to the program or as revealing the content of the confidential recommendation.

The recommended sequence of exit interviews on Wednesday morning is as follows:

1. Exit interview with the program administrator, one hour. Questions and answers of clarification are permitted; the team chair will lead any response.
2. Exit interview with the leadership of the academic unit in which the program is located (e.g., director, chair, dean), 30 minutes. Questions and answers of clarification are permitted; the team chair will lead any response. NOTE: this may be broken down into more than one meeting.
3. Exit interview with the central administrators responsible for oversight of the academic unit (e.g., provost, vice president for academic affairs, or president), 30 minutes. Questions and answers of clarification are permitted; the team chair will lead any response.
4. Exit interview with the students, faculty, and staff of the program, 30 minutes; questions and answers are not permitted.
5. The team is expected to leave the institution as soon as the last interview is completed.

c. **Team Room**

- i. **Purpose.** The team room is a securable, reasonably soundproof room accessible only to the team that is set up within the building for the exclusive use of the team to evaluate the program in confidence.
- ii. **Contents.** Before the site visit, the program head and visiting team chair discuss the content and organization of the team room, which must contain fully labeled and easily accessible exhibits of student work. Exhibits must include examples of both the minimum passing grade and high achievement; be of sufficient quantity to demonstrate that all graduates are meeting the performance criteria; have been executed since the previous site visit; and span no less than a single previous academic year. In all cases, student work should be presented in the form in which it was turned in. If work was turned in using electronic format, the program is expected to provide the applications used to create the work in order for the team to review it.

- iii. **Standards for Visit Preparation.** The process of preparation for an accreditation visit – drafting documents, collecting, and displaying student work, documenting student achievement and outcomes, and installing prepared materials in the team room and beyond – shall be accomplished by the program in accordance with its studio culture policy.

The team room must contain the following:

1. **Student Studio Work.** The visual material should be mounted on vertical surfaces; not placed in stacks. The presentation of studio work must represent the full range of approaches taken and assignments made by various faculty and must include syllabi, project statement or assignments, handouts, bibliographies, and corresponding samples of student drawings and models. In addition to final projects, in-progress work and student journals must be included, or the progress of one group of students may be illustrated. Finally, the achievement of the student must be indicated (i.e., high or low pass) on the work.
2. **Course Notebooks.** A notebook should be provided for each required and elective course, including studio courses. The notebook must contain a syllabus showing weekly activities and assignments, a bibliography, quizzes and examinations, where applicable, and corresponding samples of student work with grades or instructors' comments included. The achievement of the student must be indicated (i.e., high or low pass) and a statistical summary of achievement by all students must also be included.

Notebooks may be presented electronically but only after consulting with the team chair. In the event a program chooses to present course notebooks electronically, it is the responsibility of the program to make this material available to the team in the team room.

3. **Student Admissions and Advising Files.** These are copies of files for students admitted to the program, with identifying information removed, that demonstrate the process by which students are admitted to the program and how, if appropriate, advanced standing is determined (See *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*, Part II. Section 3.).
4. **Team Work Area.** The room must contain a conference table, with enough seating to accommodate the entire team.
5. **Access.** The team room must be lockable; the only keys are to be given to the members of the team. No one other than the team is to be in the room, except at the team's invitation.
6. **Equipment.** The room must contain a telephone, a document shredder, computer equipment as requested by the visiting team chair, Internet access, a printer, an LCD projector, and a sufficient number and type of electrical outlets.
7. **Visit Agenda and Resumes.** The visit agenda and resumes of the team should be posted in the vicinity of the room.

8. **Faculty Photos.** Faculty photos should be posted in the team room.

9. **Matrices**

- a. A large format copy of the faculty credentials matrix for the current semester, described in the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*, Part II; Section 3, Faculty Credentials, should be posted in the team room.
- b. A large copy of the matrix(ces), described in the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation* Part II: Section 1 , Student Performance Criteria, should be posted in the team room.

If work from more than one professional degree program or track or from additional teaching sites is being reviewed, student work from each program or track, or site must be clearly identified. While a range of work must be displayed for each required course, it is not necessary to present the complete output of a studio, lecture, or seminar.

Class assignments must be available for all projects presented. As the team will need to gain an overview of the curriculum and the integration of studio and coursework during each year of the program, it may be helpful to organize a single year's documentation in one area.

The program is responsible for determining the logic of the team room, in consultation with the chair. However each item must be cross-referenced to the course matrix and criteria it addresses, be dated, and indicate its assessment from minimum to high achievement. Ideally, examples by several different students or teams will be furnished.

Exhibits in spaces outside the team room can augment, but not substitute for, team room exhibits. They should be identified in a manner consistent with team room displays, except that indications of minimum to high pass should be omitted in public displays.

- d. **Faculty Exhibits.** The program must provide evidence through a faculty exhibit⁸ that the faculty, taken as a whole, reflects the range of knowledge and experience necessary to promote student achievement as described in Part Two of the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*. This exhibit should include highlights of faculty professional development and achievement since the last accreditation visit.

4. Visiting Team Report (VTR)

⁸ The faculty exhibit should be set up near or in the team room. To the extent the exhibit is incorporated into the team room, it should not be presented in a manner that interferes with the team's ability to view and evaluate student work.

- a. **Purpose.** The *VTR* serves multiple purposes. It is essential to the NAAB in making its accreditation decision; it may serve to strengthen the program and its position within the institution; and it may inform current and prospective students about the nature and quality of the program. *VTRs* are considered advisory to the NAAB Board of Directors. A template for *VTRs* can be found in Appendix 1.

- b. **Contents.** The *VTR* conveys the visiting team’s assessment of whether the program meets the *Conditions for Accreditation*, as measured by evidence of student learning, the overall capacity of the program to fulfill its obligations to ensure student achievement, and the overall learning environment. It establishes the degree to which the program is functioning in the manner described in the *APR*. Therefore, the *VTR* must be concise and consistent and include documentation of the following:
 - i. The program’s noteworthy qualities with respect to the *Conditions*.
 - ii. The program’s deficiencies with respect to the *Conditions*, including the Student Performance Criteria.
 - iii. Concerns about the program’s future performance and/or capacity to meet its long-term strategic objectives.
 - iv. Comments that may be helpful in preparing for future accreditation visits (if any).

- c. **Format.** The *VTR*, generally speaking, includes the following:
 - i. **Section I – Summary of Team Findings**
 - 1. **Team Comments.** This is a narrative in which the team makes its general comments on the program, the *APR*, and its observations and assessments of the areas listed in 3.4.b. i-iv (above).
 - 2. **Conditions Not Met.** This is a list of the conditions and student performance criteria that the team determines are not met.
 - 3. **Causes for Concern.** This is a narrative that describes specific concerns of the team relative to unmet conditions or to conditions that may have been met within the strict definition of the condition/criterion, but for which the team has concerns or questions. This is a numbered list. Each item should have a brief title. It is not necessary for an unmet condition to generate a cause for concern; likewise conditions/criteria that are determined to be met may have also generated concerns within the team.
 - 4. **Progress since the Previous Site Visit/VTR.** This is a narrative in which the current team reviews the program’s progress against each of the not-met conditions and causes of concern from the previous visit and *VTR*. It is the responsibility of the current team to determine, based on their review, whether previously not-met conditions are now met and whether the causes of concern have been addressed.
 - ii. **Section II – Compliance with the 2009 Conditions for Accreditation**
 - iii. **Section III – Appendices**
 - 1. **Appendix A.** Program and institutional information from Part I: Section 1 of the *APR*.

2. **Appendix B.** Conditions Met with Distinction. This is a list of the conditions and student performance criteria for which the team wishes to commend the program. The team is encouraged to include a brief narrative for each one of the conditions or criteria listed here.
 3. **Appendix C.** The team roster.
- iv. **Section IV – Report Signatures.** This page includes the signatures of all team members, including the non-voting member(s).
- d. **Confidential Recommendation.** In a separate document, the team transmits a recommendation on the term of accreditation to the NAAB Board of Directors, signed by all members of the team, except the non-voting member(s) (see Section 2 for terms that may be recommended). The content of this document remains confidential in perpetuity. The recommendation is non-binding on the Board. This document is to be transmitted separately from the *VTR* not later than 30 calendar days after the visit ends.
 - e. **Review/Acceptance/Transmittal by the Team.** The team chair must transmit a final draft of the *VTR* to the NAAB office not later than 30 calendar days after the visit ends. During the interim, the team chair is responsible for completing the draft and collecting additional input or suggested text from the other members of the team.
 - f. **Review by NAAB Staff.** Upon receiving the draft from the team chair, the NAAB staff reviews the draft report and makes corrections for grammar, spelling, and punctuation. In addition, the report is reviewed for completeness and comprehension and to ensure the team has not offered advice or recommendations for changes or modifications to the program. If there are concerns or requests for additional review, the draft is returned to the chair. Once the chair makes the adjustments to the draft, it is sent, without the confidential recommendation, to the program administrator.
 - g. **Corrections of Fact.** The program administrator is asked to review the draft *VTR* to make corrections of fact only. These corrections are to be transmitted to the NAAB staff, who will review the corrections of fact with the team chair. The team chair has 10 calendar days to accept the corrections of fact and resubmit a final *VTR*.
 - h. **Optional response.** The final *VTR* is transmitted to the program administrator who may choose to write a response.
 - i. **Dates and deadlines**
 - i. 30 days after the visit ends, the team chair sends draft *VTR* to NAAB staff.
 - ii. NAAB staff complete the initial edits and corrections, in consultation with the chair, and then sends the draft *VTR* to the program administrator.

- iii. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the draft *VTR*, program submits corrections of fact. Corrections sent after the deadline will not be accepted.
 - iv. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the corrections of fact, the team chair accepts or rejects the corrections and submits final *VTR* to NAAB staff.
 - v. NAAB staff transmits the final *VTR* to the program administrator for an optional response.
 - vi. Within 10 calendar days of receiving the final *VTR*, the program sends its optional response to NAAB offices. Responses sent after the deadline will not be forwarded to the Board.
 - vii. Not later than 21 calendar days before the next meeting of the NAAB Board of Directors, NAAB staff prepare the final report package for Board of Directors review. This package contains four separate documents. They include the following, in this order:
 - 1. An executive summary
 - 2. The final *VTR*.
 - 3. Confidential recommendation
 - 4. Program response, if one is submitted.
5. **Decision of the Board of Directors.** At its next regularly scheduled meeting, the final report package, is presented to the Board of Directors for a decision.
6. **Transmitting the Decision of the Board of Directors.** Within 14 calendar days of a Board decision regarding a term of accreditation, a letter announcing the decision is sent to the president of the institution, with copies to the program administrator, the team chair, and the team members. This letter is sent by overnight delivery. In the event the Board decides to revoke accreditation, the letter will include the reasons for the decision and advice for addressing the deficiencies before applying for reinstatement (See Section 8). The institution has 14 calendar days from the receipt of a decision letter to request reconsideration (see Section 13).
7. **Confidentiality.** The team and any non-voting members must maintain strict confidentiality with respect to materials reviewed, interviews conducted, and team deliberations, including the team's recommendation on a term of accreditation in perpetuity. The team bases its assessment of the program, in part, on interviews with various constituencies of the program. All individual and group interviews are confidential, and the information obtained from them is for the exclusive use of the team in preparing its report and recommendation.

Before the accreditation decision, both the NAAB and the program are prohibited from making either the *APR* or the *VTR* available to the collateral organizations or the public.

8. **Public Disclosure of Accreditation Outcomes**
- a. After the accreditation decision, the program is required to disseminate the *APR*, the final *VTR* and pertinent attachments (including the program response, if one was prepared), the current editions of the *Conditions* and the *Procedures* and any addenda, and, eventually, the *Interim Report(s)* and the NAAB response to

the *Interim Report(s)*. These documents must be housed together in the architecture library and be freely accessible to all.

- b. Unless written permission is obtained from the NAAB, the program may disseminate only complete copies of the *APR*, *VTR*, the *Conditions* and the *Procedures* and any addenda. Programs may not publish these documents in abbreviated or excerpted forms.
 - c. The program is required to provide faculty and students with access to the current student performance criteria and related accreditation documents (see *2009 Conditions for Accreditation*, Part II: Section 4 – Public Information).
 - d. The NAAB makes available in its office the *APRs* and the *VTRs* of all accredited programs, candidate programs, or programs that have lost accreditation. These are available to the public by appointment. Beginning in 2011, the NAAB will publish all *VTRs* after accreditation decisions are made at www.naab.org. These will be published without the confidential recommendation of the team.
 - e. The accreditation decisions for a given year are published in the annual *Report on Accreditation in Architecture Education*. In addition they are made available to the collateral organizations and the public, and to other organizations upon request.
 - f. Within 30 calendar days of a decision to revoke accreditation, the NAAB will notify the collateral organizations, the appropriate regional accrediting agency, and the licensing board for the jurisdiction in which the institution is located.
9. **Special Provisions for Institutions with More than One NAAB-Accredited Degree Program.** If an institution offers more than one NAAB-accredited degree program certain adjustments may be made to the schedule, team, and the *APR*.
- a. **Adjustments to the Schedule.** To the extent possible, the NAAB prefers to schedule a concurrent review of all NAAB-accredited programs in a single visit. Thus, any institution that offers more than one NAAB-accredited program would be expected to prepare one *APR*, one team room, and host one team. At the discretion of the team chair and in consultation with the program administrator(s), the visit may be extended by one day to facilitate review of student work.
 - b. **Adjustments to the Team.** Any team scheduled for concurrent review for continuing accreditation of more than one NAAB-accredited program at the same institution will have one additional team member selected from the pool of individuals nominated to serve on visiting teams by the ACSA. This additional team member will not affect the ability of the program to nominate a non-voting member.
 - c. **Adjustment to the *APR***
 - i. Part I Institutional Support and Commitment to Continuous Improvement.
 - 1. Part I: Section 1. The *APR* may provide one response for all accredited degree programs.

2. Part I: Section 2. The *APR* must provide information that there are appropriate resources for each NAAB-accredited program.
 3. Part I: Section 3. The *APR* must provide quantitative information for each NAAB-accredited program.
 4. Part I: Section 4. The *APR* must identify one set of documents included in the team room.
- ii. Part II: Educational Outcomes and Curriculum.
1. Part II: Section 1. The program must provide a separate matrix for each degree program offered and for each track for completion of the accredited degree(s).
 2. Part II: Section 2. The program must provide complete information regarding the curriculum for each of the NAAB-accredited programs and for all tracks for completing the NAAB-accredited degree.
 3. Part II: Section 3. The program must demonstrate the processes for the analysis and evaluation of the preparatory/preprofessional education of students admitted to any of its accredited degree programs, with special attention paid to evaluating whether SPC are expected to have been met in educational experiences in non-accredited programs.
 4. Part II: Section 4. The program may provide one response for all NAAB-accredited programs.
- d. **Special Provisions for Institutions Seeking Candidacy or Initial Accreditation at the Same Time as a Visit for Continuing Accreditation.**
 In the rare case that an institution is seeking candidacy or initial accreditation for an additional NAAB-accredited professional degree program in architecture in the same year as a visit for continuing accreditation, the visits will not be combined. Instead separate visits will be scheduled with separate teams. In addition, a separate *APR* must be prepared for each program to be visited.

SECTION 6. PROGRAM CHANGES THAT REQUIRE REVIEW BY THE NAAB

Occasionally, programs may seek to make significant changes to the NAAB-accredited degree program. These changes may include changing the title(s) of the NAAB-accredited degree program they offer (e.g., B. Arch. to M. Arch.) or making a major curricular change that does not require a change of title. The former are referred to as nomenclature changes; these are addressed in Section 7. The latter are considered professional degree and curriculum changes and are addressed in this section. A professional degree and curriculum change may require approval by the NAAB.

Professional degree and curriculum changes that must be reviewed by the NAAB include the following:

- Changes that may affect the admissions requirements of a program (e.g., shifting from a non-baccalaureate M. Arch. to an M. Arch. that requires a preprofessional undergraduate degree for admission).
- Changes that effectively “split” an accredited single-degree program into a multi-degree sequence that concludes with an M. Arch or D. Arch., and which may require a preprofessional degree for admission (e.g., changing from a B. Arch. to an M. Arch. that requires a preprofessional degree for admission).

Any program seeking to make a professional degrees and curriculum change must first consult the NAAB to determine whether this procedure is appropriate or whether the changes are sufficiently expansive to constitute a new, proposed program that may be required to pursue candidacy and initial accreditation. In the event the program must pursue candidacy and initial accreditation, the Board may approve an accelerated schedule.

Generally, approval of these types of changes follow this sequence:

- Letter of application to the NAAB Board of Directors.
- Submission of a proposal.
- Review of the proposal and application.
- Decision by the NAAB Board of Directors.

1. Professional Degree and Curriculum Changes

- a. **Application.** Programs seeking approval of a professional degree and curriculum change must submit the following to the NAAB Board of Directors:
 - i. A letter from the chief academic officer of the institution requesting approval of the change.
 - ii. A proposal for implementing the change (see below).
 - iii. A copy of the most recent decision letter from the NAAB Board of Directors.
 - iv. Copies of other institutional or state-required approvals for the change. The NAAB will not consider professional degree and curriculum change requests that have not met all other requirements for institutional or state-required approvals.
- b. Applications for professional degree and curriculum changes may be sent by email only and are to be addressed to the NAAB Accreditation Manager.

- i. Applications are limited to 50 pages and 2 MBs.
 - ii. They are to be in either Word or Adobe PDF.
 - iii. By e-mail: info@naab.org with a copy to cpair@naab.org. Please include “Application for Professional Degrees and Curriculum Change – [Name of Institution]” in the subject line.
- c. **Proposal for Professional Degree and Curriculum Changes.** The proposal for the change must include the following:
- i. Part I – Description of the current degree program.
 - 1. This should be similar to the program’s response to Part II, Section 2.1 Professional Degrees and Curriculum in its most recent *Architecture Program Report*.⁹
 - 2. The matrix for Part II, Section 1, Student Performance Criteria, for the current degree program.
 - ii. Part II - Proposed new degree program configuration.
 - 1. Part A – Professional Degrees & Curriculum. This section should describe the changes that will be made to the program while also ensuring it conforms to NAAB and institutional requirements including:
 - a. A narrative that responds to the requirements of Part II, Section 2, Curricular Framework.
 - b. A new matrix for Student Performance Criteria for the accredited program under its new configuration
 - c. Any prerequisites.
 - iii. Part III – Implementation Plan. This section must identify a course of action for implementation of the program change within not more than two academic years after receiving approval from the NAAB. The plan must include the following:
 - 1. Securing resources not already available to the program (e.g., faculty, space, financial support), if necessary.
 - 2. Developing and implementing new courses and/or curricular sequences, if necessary.
 - 3. Proposed last academic year in which students will be admitted to the program in its current configuration.
 - 4. Plans for ensuring that students in the existing configuration are able to complete the program on time.
 - 5. Proposed first academic year in which students may enroll in the new program configuration.
 - 6. Proposed academic year in which the first cohort of students will complete the newly configured program.
 - 7. A plan for communicating with students, faculty, staff, alumni and the state registration/licensing board if the program change is approved by the NAAB. NOTE: If approved, program changes may not be applied retroactively.

⁹ Part II, Section 2.1 is similar to Condition 12 from the 2004 NAAB Conditions for Accreditation.

- d. **Professional Degrees and Curriculum Change Review Team**
 - i. The NAAB will assign a team of two persons (one educator and one practitioner), one of whom is a member of the NAAB Board of Directors, the other will be from the most recent visiting team, if possible.
 - ii. One of the two will be designated by the NAAB Directors as the team chair.
 - iii. There are no non-voting team members on teams to review professional degree and curriculum change requests.

- e. **Responsibilities of the Team Chair**
 - i. Coordinate the review of documents with the other member of the team.
 - ii. Coordinate the initial assessment of the reports and make a recommendation to the NAAB Board as to whether a visit is required.
 - iii. Communicate with the program on the details of the visit, if required.
 - iv. Prepare the final *Nomenclature Change Request Report*.

- f. **Professional Degrees And Curriculum Change Sequence**
 - i. The team will review the application and proposal along with the most recent *VTR*.
 - ii. The team will confer, using any reasonable means, to determine whether the documentary evidence is sufficient for making a recommendation to the NAAB Board of Directors. The team will reach an initial decision from among the following:
 - 1. Based on a review of the documentary evidence, the team determines that the program has provided sufficient documentation for making a recommendation to the NAAB Board of Directors and no visit is necessary.
 - 2. The team determines based on a review of the documentary evidence that a visit is necessary to review additional documentation or to confer with program administrators and other institutional leaders.
 - iii. If the team determines that no visit is necessary.
 - 1. The team chair will prepare a report using the *Professional Degrees and Curriculum Change Report* template. The report will be confined to the analysis of the proposal and the program's preparation to implement the new degree configuration.
 - 2. The NAAB will provide a copy of the report to the program to correct errors of fact or omissions.
 - 3. The team will prepare, as a separate document, a confidential recommendation to the Board, signed by both members of the team. This document is confidential in perpetuity and is non-binding on the Board.
 - 4. The final copy of the report, with the recommendation of the team will be sent to the NAAB Board for action.
 - iv. If the team determines a visit is necessary.
 - 1. The team chair will consult with the program administrator to set a date for a one-day Professional Degrees and Curriculum Change

visit. Visits are to take place on a weekday during a week when classes are in session and students are on campus.

2. The scope of the visit is limited to the preparation by the institution or academic unit to implement the professional degree and curriculum changes.
 3. The team chair and program administrator will consult on the schedule for the visit. Generally, visits should include the following:
 - a. Entrance and exit meetings with the program administrator.
 - b. Meetings with institutional administrators with responsibility for implementation of the changes (e.g., department chair or dean).
 - c. Meetings with faculty.
 - d. Meetings with students.
 - e. Review of documents and other evidence deemed appropriate by the program or requested by the team chair to demonstrate the program's readiness to implement the program changes.
 4. A team room may or may not be necessary depending on the documents or other evidence to be reviewed.
 5. Upon the conclusion of the visit, the team chair will prepare a report using the *Professional Degrees and Curriculum Change Request Report* template.
 6. The NAAB will provide a copy of the report to the program to correct errors of fact or omissions.
 7. The final copy of the report, with the recommendation of the review team will be sent to the NAAB Board for action.
 8. The program, if it wishes, may submit a written response to the final report when it submits its corrections of fact.
- g. **Recommendations for Professional Degree and Curriculum Change Requests.** The team may make one of three recommendations to the NAAB Board of Directors.
- i. Approve the request and leave the existing visit schedule unchanged.
 - ii. Approve the request and advance the time for the next visit while allowing adequate time for the program to prepare.
 - iii. Deny the request.

In the event the change is approved, the team will recommend a specific date by which the existing program will be fully phased out, including appropriate "teach out dates." In the event the professional degrees and curriculum change results in a new degree title, a date after which the new title will be considered the accredited degree will be reported to NCARB.

- h. **Final Decision.** The responsibility for the final decision rests with the NAAB Board of Directors.

- i. In the event the professional degree and curriculum change request is denied, the program must wait until after its next regularly scheduled accreditation visit to reapply.
 - ii. Decisions of the NAAB regarding professional degree and curriculum changes are not subject to reconsideration or appeal.
2. **Confidentiality.** The team must maintain strict confidentiality with respect to materials reviewed, interviews conducted, and team deliberations, including the team's recommendation on a nomenclature change request in perpetuity. The team bases its assessment of the request, in part, on interviews with various constituencies of the program. All individual and group interviews are confidential, and the information obtained from them is for the exclusive use of the team in preparing its report and recommendation.

Before the decision, both the NAAB and the program are prohibited from making the application, proposal, or final report available to the collateral organizations or the public.

SECTION 7. NOMENCLATURE CHANGE REQUESTS

Occasionally, programs may seek to make significant changes to the NAAB-accredited degree program. These changes may include changing the title(s) of the NAAB-accredited degree program they offer (e.g., B. Arch. to M. Arch.) or making a major curricular change that does not require a change of title. The former are referred to as nomenclature changes. The procedure for reviewing nomenclature changes is found in this section. The latter are considered professional degree and curriculum changes, which are covered in the previous section.

Both types of changes must be approved by the NAAB Board of Directors. Generally, these approvals follow this sequence:

- Letter of application to the NAAB Board of Directors.
- Submission of a proposal.
- Review of the proposal and application.
- Decision by the NAAB Board of Directors.

Nomenclature change requests are limited to the following:

- Programs seeking to convert an existing B.Arch. already in excess of 150 credits to a single-degree M. Arch. program by modest adjustments to the curriculum in order to achieve the 168-credit minimum.
- Programs seeking to convert an existing five-year, non-baccalaureate M. Arch program into a B. Arch program through modest adjustments in the curriculum in order to achieve the 150-credit minimum.
- Programs seeking to convert an existing M. Arch. program that requires an undergraduate degree (either in architecture or another discipline) for admission into a D. Arch. program by modest adjustments to the curriculum in order to achieve the 210-credit minimum.

Any program seeking to use the nomenclature change procedure must first consult the NAAB to determine whether this procedure is appropriate

If approved, nomenclature changes may not be applied retroactively.

3. **Eligibility.** Programs seeking approval of a nomenclature change request must have the following:
 - a. A six-year term of accreditation that does not include or require a focused evaluation for their current program (only applicable through December 31, 2015).
 - b. All elements of Part II, Section 2, of the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation Curricular Framework* must have been met in the last accreditation visit and *VTR*.
 - c. No element of Part II, Section 3 of the *2009 Conditions for Accreditation* may be listed as a cause for concern in the most recent *VTR*.
 - d. No more than four years have elapsed since the last regularly scheduled accreditation visit.

4. Nomenclature Change Requests.

- a. **Application.** Programs seeking approval of a nomenclature change request must submit the following to the NAAB Board of Directors:
 - i. A letter from the chief academic officer of the institution requesting approval of the change.
 - ii. A proposal for implementing the change (see below).
 - iii. A copy of the most recent decision letter from the NAAB Board of Directors.
 - iv. Copies of other institutional or state-required approvals for the nomenclature change. The NAAB will not consider nomenclature change requests that have not met all other requirements for institutional or state-required approvals.

- b. Applications for nomenclature changes may be sent by email only and are to be addressed to the NAAB Accreditation Manager.
 - i. Applications are limited to 50 pages and 2 MBs.
 - ii. They are to be in either Word or Adobe PDF.
 - iii. By e-mail: info@naab.org with a copy to cpair@naab.org. Please include “Application for Nomenclature Change –[Name of Institution]” in the subject line.

- c. **Proposal for Nomenclature Changes.** The proposal for the nomenclature change must include the following:
 - i. Part I – Description of the current degree program.
 1. This should be similar to the program’s response to Part II, Section 2.1 Professional Degrees and Curriculum in its most recent *Architecture Program Report*.¹⁰
 2. The matrix for Part II, Section 1, Student Performance Criteria, for the current degree program.
 - ii. Part II - Proposed new degree nomenclature.
 1. Part A – Professional Degrees & Curriculum. This section should describe any changes that must be made to the program in order to conform to NAAB and institutional requirements including:
 - a. A narrative that responds to the requirements of Part II, Section 2, Curricular Framework.
 - b. A new matrix for Student Performance Criteria for the accredited program under its new title.
 - c. Any prerequisites.
 - iii. Part III – Implementation Plan. This section must identify a course of action for implementation of the renamed degree program within not more than two academic years after receiving approval of the nomenclature change. The plan must include the following:
 1. Securing resources not already available to the program (e.g., faculty, space, financial support), if necessary.

¹⁰ Part II, Section 2.1 is similar to Condition 12 from the 2004 NAAB Conditions for Accreditation.

2. Developing and implementing new courses and/or curricular sequences, if necessary.
3. Proposed last academic year in which students will receive diplomas with the current title for the NAAB-accredited degree program.
4. Proposed first academic year in which students may enroll in the newly titled NAAB-accredited degree program.
5. Proposed academic year in which students will receive diplomas that display the new degree title.
6. Plans for ensuring that students in the current degree program are able to complete their NAAB-accredited degrees on time.
7. A plan for communicating with students, faculty, staff, alumni and the state registration/licensing board if the nomenclature change is approved by the NAAB. NOTE: If approved, nomenclature changes may not be applied retroactively.

d. Nomenclature Change Review Team

- i. The NAAB will assign a team of two persons (one educator and one practitioner), one of whom is a member of the NAAB Board of Directors, the other will be from the most recent visiting team, if possible.
- ii. One of the two will be designated by the NAAB Directors as the team chair.
- iii. There are no non-voting team members on teams to review nomenclature change requests.

e. Responsibilities of the Team Chair

- i. Coordinate the review of documents with the other member of the team.
- ii. Coordinate the initial assessment of the reports and make a recommendation to the NAAB Board as to whether a visit is required.
- iii. Communicate with the program on the details of the visit, if required.
- iv. Prepare the final *Nomenclature Change Request Report*.

f. Nomenclature Change Sequence

- i. The team will review the application and proposal along with the most recent *VTR*.
- ii. The team will confer, using any reasonable means, to determine whether the documentary evidence is sufficient for making a recommendation to the NAAB Board of Directors. The team will reach an initial decision from among the following:
 1. Based on a review of the documentary evidence, the team determines that the program has provided sufficient documentation for making a recommendation to the NAAB Board of Directors and no visit is necessary.
 2. The team determines based on a review of the documentary evidence that a visit is necessary to review additional documentation or to confer with program administrators and other institutional leaders.
- iii. If the team determines that no visit is necessary.

1. The team chair will prepare a report using the *Nomenclature Change Request Report* template. The report will be confined to the analysis of the proposal and the program's preparation to implement the new degree title.
 2. The NAAB will provide a copy of the report to the program to correct errors of fact or omissions.
 3. The team will prepare, as a separate document, a confidential recommendation to the Board, signed by both members of the team. This document is confidential in perpetuity and is non-binding on the Board.
 4. The final copy of the report, with the recommendation of the team will be sent to the NAAB Board for action.
- iv. If the team determines a visit is necessary.
1. The team chair will consult with the program administrator to set a day for a one-day Nomenclature Change visit. Visits are to take place on a weekday during a week when classes are in session and students are on campus.
 2. The scope of the visit is limited to the preparation by the institution or academic unit to implement the new degree title.
 3. The team chair and program administrator will consult on the schedule for the visit. Generally, visits should include the following:
 - a. Entrance and exit meetings with the program administrator.
 - b. Meetings with institutional administrators with responsibility for implementation of the new degree (e.g., department chair or dean).
 - c. Meetings with faculty.
 - d. Meetings with students.
 - e. Review of documents and other evidence deemed appropriate by the program or requested by the team chair to demonstrate the program's readiness to implement the new degree title.
 4. A team room may or may not be necessary depending on the documents or other evidence to be reviewed.
 5. Upon the conclusion of the visit, the team chair will prepare a report using the *Nomenclature Change Request Report* template.
 6. The NAAB will provide a copy of the report to the program to correct errors of fact or omissions.
 7. The final copy of the report, with the recommendation of the NC team will be sent to the NAAB Board for action.
 8. The program, if it wishes, may provide a written response to the final report at the time it submits corrections of fact.
- g. **Recommendations for Nomenclature Change Requests.** The team may make one of three recommendations to the NAAB Board of Directors.
- i. Approve the nomenclature change request and leave the existing visit schedule unchanged.

- ii. Approve the nomenclature change request and advance the time for the next visit while allowing adequate time for the program to prepare.
- iii. Deny the nomenclature change request.

In the event the change is approved, the team will recommend a specific date by which the current degree title will no longer be considered accredited and a date after which only the new title will be considered the accredited degree. These dates will also be reported to the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards.

- h. **Final Decision.** The responsibility for the final decision rests with the NAAB Board of Directors.
 - i. In the event the nomenclature change request is denied, the program must wait until after its next regularly scheduled accreditation visit to reapply.
 - ii. Decisions of the NAAB regarding nomenclature changes are not subject to reconsideration or appeal.
- 5. **Confidentiality.** The team must maintain strict confidentiality with respect to materials reviewed, interviews conducted, and team deliberations, including the team's recommendation on a nomenclature change request in perpetuity. The team bases its assessment of the request, in part, on interviews with various constituencies of the program. All individual and group interviews are confidential, and the information obtained from them is for the exclusive use of the team in preparing its report and recommendation.

Before the decision, both the NAAB and the program are prohibited from making the application, proposal, or final report available to the collateral organizations or the public.

SECTION 8. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. Request for Postponement of a Regularly Scheduled Visit

Under certain circumstances, a program may request postponement of a regularly scheduled visit for continuing accreditation or continuation of candidacy. The process for requesting a postponement is the same in either case. A program may only request a postponement one time in any accreditation cycle.

The following may not be postponed: visits for initial accreditation, curriculum and program change reviews, and nomenclature change reviews.

a. Submitting the Request:

Not later than July 1 in the year prior to a regularly scheduled visit for continuing accreditation, or initial or continuation of candidacy, a program may request that the visit be postponed to the next academic semester or quarter (e.g., a visit scheduled for Spring 2011 may be postponed to Fall 2011). The request must include the following:

- i. A written request for the postponement from the institution's chief academic officer.
- ii. A brief description of the reason(s) for requesting the postponement.
- iii. A brief description of the benefit(s) of the postponement to the program and institution.
- iv. A brief description of the benefit(s) of the postponement to the accreditation process.
- v. Requests to postpone visits originally scheduled for the following spring must be received in the NAAB offices no later than close of business on July 1. Requests to postpone visits originally scheduled for the fall, must be received in the NAAB offices no later than close of business on March 1.
- vi. Applications may be submitted in electronic format only.
 1. Applications are limited to 3 pages and 200 KB including all supplemental information.
 2. The request is to be sent either in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF.
 3. Requests are to be addressed to the Executive Director, NAAB at info@naab.org with a copy to cpair@naab.org. Please include "Request for Postponement of Regularly Scheduled Visit –[Name of Institution]" in the subject line.

b. **Action on the Request.** Decisions to grant or deny a request for a postponement will be made by the NAAB Executive Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. Results of the decision will be communicated by a letter addressed to the chief academic officer within 7 calendar days of the executive committee's decision.

c. **Special Circumstances.** In the event of a natural disaster or other catastrophic event, a program may request a postponement of a regularly scheduled visit without regard to the deadlines described above.

2. **Request to Advance the Date for a Regularly Scheduled Visit for Initial Accreditation.** Occasionally programs in candidacy for accreditation may wish to advance the date for a visit for initial accreditation from the fall semester to the previous spring.
- a. **Procedure:** The procedure for requesting a spring visit for initial accreditation is as follows:
- i. A written request to advance the visit for initial accreditation from the institution's chief academic officer is sent to the NAAB. This request must include:
 1. A brief description of the reason(s) for requesting the earlier date.
 2. A brief description of the benefit of advancing the date to the program and institution.
 3. A brief description of the benefit of advancing the date to the accreditation process.
 - ii. Requests to advance the date for visits originally scheduled for the fall must be received in the NAAB offices no later than close of business on July 1 one year prior to the originally scheduled visit for initial accreditation.
 - iii. Applications may be submitted in electronic format only.
 1. Applications are limited to 3 pages and 200 KB including all supplemental information.
 2. The request is to be sent either in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF.
 3. Requests are to be addressed to the Executive Director, NAAB at info@naab.org with a copy to cpair@naab.org. Please include "Request for Advancing Regularly Scheduled Visit –[Name of Institution]" in the subject line.
- b. **Action on the Request.** Decisions to grant or deny a request for advancing the date of a visit for initial accreditation will be made by the NAAB Executive Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. Results of the decision will be communicated by a letter addressed to the chief academic officer within 7 calendar days of the executive committee's decision.
3. **Request for Reinstating Accreditation**
A request for reinstatement following revocation or in the event a program's accreditation expires must be made by an institution's chief academic officer. The procedure for reinstatement is the same as that for Candidacy and Initial Accreditation, as described in Sections 3 and 4. For programs requesting reinstatement, the minimum period of candidacy is one year.
4. **Programs at Remote Locations**
The NAAB recognizes that institutions continue to seek innovative ways in which to deliver curricula leading to an NAAB-accredited degree. These innovations may vary from individual courses offered in unique settings (e.g., urban design centers) to dual-campus institutions where a single curriculum is delivered in part or in full by the same faculty at more than one location. For the purpose of accreditation of a first

professional degree in architecture accredited by the NAAB, the following definitions apply.

a. **Definitions**

- i. **Branch Campuses.** A branch campus is a location that is geographically apart from and independent of the accredited program offered at the main/flagship campus of the institution, is permanent in nature, offers at least 50 percent of the curriculum leading to a NAAB-accredited degree, or has a curriculum that differs significantly from that offered at the main/flagship campus, has its own faculty and administrative/supervisory organization, including committee structures, and has its own budgetary and hiring authority. Students and faculty are engaged in committees or professional organizations that are unique to the branch campus. Opportunities for research and scholarship are controlled at the branch campus. NAAB-accredited programs offered at branch campuses must be accredited separately from those offered at the main campus (e.g., University of California system or the University of Texas system). For the purposes of accreditation, institutional partnerships to offer a NAAB-accredited program at more than one main/flagship campus of more than one institution will be considered under this definition.
- ii. **Additional Site.** An additional site is a location that is geographically apart from, but not independent of the accredited program at the main/flagship campus or its organizational control and management. There is one dean or administrative head with overall responsibility for the program and one committee structure serving the programmatic needs of the additional site and the main campus site (i.e., one curriculum committee, one grievance committee, and one admissions committee). Faculty, staff, and students are integrated into the academic, professional and social life of the program at the main campus. This includes faculty and students from the additional sites being engaged in committees, professional organizations, and having comparable access to scholarly and research activities. Programs offered at a main campus and at an additional site are accredited together as a single program.
- iii. **Teaching Site and Study-Abroad.** A teaching site is a location that is geographically apart from, but not independent of the accredited program. It is used only for instruction during a specific course or single-semester sequence. The teaching site allows the program to meet the needs of different course components within a single curriculum. Teaching sites and study abroad programs are reviewed within the context of the curriculum for the NAAB-accredited program.
- iv. **Online Learning.** For the purposes of accreditation, courses offered online will be considered under the definition of teaching sites, except to the extent that more than 40 percent of the curriculum is delivered online or the residency requirement is less than six weeks. In such cases, the online program will be considered an additional site.

- b. **Determination of Accreditation Status for Branch Campuses or Additional Sites.** In the *APR* submitted for a visit for continuing accreditation, the program must include its responses to the questionnaire found in Appendix 3 and a narrative description of its branch campuses, additional sites, teaching sites and online learning using the definitions above. The narrative must address the following matters:
- i. Curriculum
 - ii. Geographic location
 - iii. Administrative structure
 - iv. Budgetary and hiring authority and responsibilities
 - v. Faculty access to committee assignments, research and scholarship opportunities and participation in professional societies
 - vi. Student access to services and equipment, and participation in governance.
 - vii. Physical resources

The responses to the questionnaire and narrative taken together will be used by the team chair and the staff to determine which category to assign and what additional requirements may be added to the visit. The program will be notified no later than January 1 as to what adjustments may be needed for the visit. The criteria for the determination of the status of the remote programs are outlined below.

- c. **Separate *APRs* and Separate Site Visits.** Programs on branch campuses will be treated as unique, individually accredited programs and will follow the procedure outlined in Section 5. This will require a separate *APR* and a separate visit.
- d. **Expanded *APR* and Extended Visit**
- i. Programs with additional sites, teaching sites, or online learning are required to describe these sites in the *APR* and to identify the role(s) these sites play in the ability of the program to deliver the curriculum leading to the accredited degree or the ability of the institution to meet its mission.
 - ii. Visits to additional sites or teaching sites will be included in the regularly scheduled visit to the accredited program. The site visit may be extended by up to two days to accommodate the visit to the additional or teaching site. The additional or teaching site will be visited by the visiting team chair and at least one other member of the team. (NOTE: Teaching sites located outside the U.S. may be visited by the team chair; the decision to do so is made by the chair after review of the *APR* and in consultation with the NAAB.)
- e. **New Programs at Branch Campuses or Additional Sites**
- i. Programs initiating new programs at branch campuses will be treated as unique, individual programs and will be required to follow the procedures for candidacy and initial accreditation as outlined in Sections 3 and 4.

- ii. Programs initiating or altering additional sites, teaching sites or online learning must provide this information in the *Interim Report*, at such time as the changes are made or considered. When the program prepares its next *APR*, the team chair and the NAAB staff will determine whether additional time will be added to the visit to review the new or altered sites.

f. **Review of Student Work**

NAAB visiting teams shall have access to student work completed at other locations or online. There are several options for this review. The team chair, program administrator, and NAAB staff should consult on the method that best meets the needs of the visit. These options include:

- i. Establishing a team room at the additional or teaching site and displaying student work there. In this case, a day will be added to the visit.
- ii. Displaying student work from the additional or teaching site in the team room at the primary location for the program. The work must be clearly identified as having been produced by students at the additional or teaching site.
- iii. In all cases, the institution will coordinate the location of the display and logistics of the visit with the team chair prior to the accreditation visit.

g. **Visiting Team Report**

In all cases, the NAAB *Visiting Team Report* shall address the additional sites, teaching sites, or online learning relative to the conformance of their administrative structure, financial responsibilities, equipment and facilities, student demographics, curriculum and student/faculty governance policies to those of the main/flagship campus. The evaluative essence of the accreditation process is to assure the profession and the public that the conditions and performance standards for accreditation as measured through institutional and student performance criteria has been achieved in all sites at which the NAAB-accredited degree is offered.

5. **Phasing Out Programs**

An institution that intends to eliminate its NAAB-accredited degree, must maintain compliance with the NAAB *Conditions for Accreditation* until the conclusion of the fiscal year in which the institution will cease awarding the accredited degree.

Any institution that intends to eliminate a NAAB-accredited degree must provide a narrative report that describes the process for eliminating the degree program, the last year in which students will be admitted to the program, and the last year in which the degree will be awarded. During a phase-out period, students who enrolled in the accredited degree program must be able to complete their entire course of study, with the necessary resources, as accredited by the NAAB. Further, regularly scheduled visits for continuing accreditation will take place.

SECTION 9. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The NAAB and its volunteer leaders are dedicated to serving the interests of the NAAB's constituencies and collateral partners in the most honorable and ethical manner possible. Among the NAAB's duties is the responsibility to provide assurance to its constituencies and partners that debates, decision making, and all governance at the NAAB is conducted in an objective and bias-free context. Thus, the NAAB seeks to avoid any real or perceived conflict of interest in its procedures, deliberations, and accrediting decisions¹¹.

No person shall take part as a visiting team member and no Board member shall participate in an accrediting decision or the deliberations leading thereto if he/she cannot evaluate a program objectively and without bias even if none of the categories for automatic disqualification set forth below apply. The term "program" shall include, in addition to the program specifically to be evaluated, any previous program, substitute program, or other program at the institution regardless of its degree title, that has received or is seeking NAAB accreditation.

The NAAB shall not assign an individual to serve on a visiting team to evaluate a program if it appears that the individual has a real or perceived conflict of interest that would raise a question as to that individual's objectivity regarding the evaluation.

All conflicts, real or potential, must be disclosed to the program administrator, the visiting team chair, and the NAAB staff at least 21 days before the visit begins in order to determine whether specific action should be taken.

1. Except as set forth below, no individual shall be assigned more than once to serve as a member of a visiting team for the same program. This provision shall also apply to non-voting members on a visiting team.
2. If a program received less than the maximum term of accreditation during its last accreditation cycle, then, with the express agreement of the program, one member of the last visiting team, exclusive of the non-voting member may be assigned to the subsequent visiting team.
3. Directors and potential team members, including non-voting members are responsible for determining and reporting whenever they have a conflict of interest, or appearance of a conflict of interest, with regard to a particular accreditation matter¹². Before serving as a team member or participating in any decision on the matter, an individual shall inform the NAAB if such a conflict or the appearance of a conflict exists.
4. An individual, in determining whether he or she should be disqualified from participation shall consider, even in the absence of a true conflict of interest, whether the potential

¹¹ The policy on conflict of interest was approved by the NAAB Board of Directors on July 20, 2008.

¹² Non-voting members are likely to be *alumni* or individuals otherwise considered "friends" of the program. These relationships do not, necessarily preclude an individual from serving as a non-voting member, however, they must be identified and reported to the team chair prior to an individual's being accepted by the chair as a non-voting member on the team. These relationships are to be documented in the *VTR* under Team Comments.

appearance of a conflict of interest is sufficiently serious to dictate the individual's withdrawal from the team

5. When considering whether he or she has a conflict of interest or an apparent conflict of interest that would prevent the individual from taking part in the evaluation of a program, the individual should take into account such matters (nonexclusive) as these:
 - a. Graduation from the institution in which the program being evaluated is located.
 - b. Close association with administrative or faculty personnel in the program or at the institution at which the program is located.
 - c. Having relatives or close friends who are associated with the program or the institution at which it is located.
 - d. Being a donor or providing other resources and support to the program or institution at which it is located.
 - e. Demonstrating that he/she holds a preconceived opinion based on the type of program to be evaluated, its reputation, the underlying philosophy of the program, the extent of expected faculty research, or the extent to which it is an undergraduate or graduate program.
6. No person shall serve as a visiting team member and no director shall take part in the deliberations or decision regarding the accreditation of a program under the following circumstances:
 - a. The individual has, or has had, a direct relationship to the program being evaluated, as an employee, current or former student, or graduate of this program.
 - b. Within the 10 years prior to the visit the individual, whether paid or unpaid, has had a limited relationship with the program being evaluated as a temporary employee, visiting faculty member, recipient of an honor, speaker on more than a single occasion, volunteer teacher or mentor, consultant, or financial supporter.
 - c. The individual is currently seeking, or at any time in the 10 years prior to the visit has unsuccessfully sought permanent employment or a relationship of the types set forth in paragraph 6.b. above.
 - d. The individual or a member of the individual's immediate family (including the individual's spouse, child, parent, or sibling and the immediate family of the spouse, child, or sibling) is an employee of, or is currently seeking employment with, the institution in which the program is located.
7. Exceptions to the above policy may be made if approved by an administrator of the program in writing or if the program fails to make a timely objection to a substitution necessary on short notice.

SECTION 10. ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORTS

Continuing accreditation and candidacy is subject to the submission of *Annual Statistical Reports*.

Annual Statistical Reports are submitted online through the NAAB's Annual Report Submission (ARS) system (<http://ars.naab.org>) and are due by November 30 of each year. For specific information or instructions on how to complete *Annual Statistical Reports*, please refer to the ARS website.

1. Annual Statistical Report

- a. **Content.** This report has six sections that capture statistical information on the institution in which an architecture program is located and on the accredited degree program. For the purposes of the report, the definitions are taken from the glossary of terms used by the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)¹³. Much of the information requested in this report corresponds to the *Institutional Characteristics, Completion and 12-Month Enrollment Report* submitted to IPEDS in the fall by the institution. Data submitted in this section is for the previous fiscal year. A copy of the questionnaire used in the ARS is in Appendix 3.
- b. **Submission.** *Annual Statistical Reports* are submitted through the NAAB's Annual Report Submission system and are due on November 30.
- c. **Fine for Late Annual Statistical Report.** *Annual Statistical Reports* are due each year on November 30. In the event a program fails to complete an annual report on time, including not more than one extension, the program will be assessed a fine of \$100.00 per calendar day until the *Annual Statistical Report* is submitted. This fine will be assessed when the report is submitted.
- d. **Failure to Submit an Annual Statistical Report.** If an acceptable *Annual Statistical Report* is not submitted to the NAAB by the deadline, the NAAB may advise the chief academic officer and program administrator of the failure to comply. In the event the program fails to submit an acceptable *Annual Statistical Report* after an extensive period of time, the NAAB executive committee may consider advancing the program's next accreditation sequence by at least one calendar year. In such cases, the chief academic officer of the institution will be notified with copies to the program administrator and a schedule will be determined so that the program has at least six months to prepare an *APR*.

SECTION 11: INTERIM PROGRESS REPORT

¹³IPEDS is the "core postsecondary data collection program for the National Center for Education Statistics. Data are collected from all primary providers of postsecondary education in the [U.S.] in areas including enrollments, program completions, graduation rates, faculty, staff, finances, institutional prices, and student financial aid." For more information see <http://nces.ed.gov/IPEDS/>

Continuing accreditation is subject to the submission of a narrative, interim progress report submitted two years after a six-year term of continuing accreditation is approved. Programs with two-year or three-year terms are exempt from this requirement.

Interim Progress Reports are due November 30 two years after the most recent visit and are also submitted through the ARS (see Section 10).

1. **Interim Progress Report.** Any program receiving a six-year term of accreditation must submit an interim progress report two years after the most recent visit.
 - a. **Content:** It is a narrative report in which a program provides the following:
 - i. Plans and actions for addressing any item listed in *VTR* Section 1.4 Conditions Not Met and *VTR* Section 1.5 Causes of Concern of the most recent *VTR*.
 - ii. Plans, actions or additional information requested in subsequent decision letters on other accreditation actions (e.g., nomenclature change).
 - iii. A description of planned changes to the program.
 - iv. Samples of student work (in electronic format) demonstrating achievement at the prescribed level for any SPC listed as Not Met in the previous *VTR*.
 - b. **Submission:** *Interim Progress Reports* are due on November 30. They are submitted electronically through the ARS in Word or PDF. Reports are limited to 15 pages of narrative. Files may not exceed 5 MBs. A template for interim reports is available at www.naab.org.
 - c. **Review.**
 - i. *Interim Progress Reports* are reviewed by the NAAB Executive Committee. The Executive Committee may make one of three recommendations to the Board regarding the acceptance of the interim report:
 1. Accept the interim report as having demonstrated satisfactory progress toward addressing deficiencies identified in the most recent *VTR*; no additional reporting is required. The annual statistical report (Section 10) is still required.
 2. Accept the interim report as having demonstrated progress toward addressing deficiencies identified in the most recent *VTR*; a subsequent report is due in two years. The annual statistical report (Section 10) is still required.
 3. Reject the interim report as having not demonstrated sufficient progress toward addressing deficiencies and advance the next accreditation sequence by at least one calendar year. In such cases, the chief academic officer of the institution will be notified with copies to the program administrator and a schedule will be determined so that the program has at least six months to prepare an *APR*. The annual statistical report (Section 10) is still required.

- ii. The Executive Committee's recommendation will be forwarded to the Board at the next regularly scheduled meeting.
 - 1. The responsibility for the final decision rests with the NAAB Board of Directors.
 - 2. Decisions of the NAAB on an interim progress report are not subject to reconsideration or appeal.

SECTION 12. COMPLAINTS ABOUT PROGRAMS

Individuals who wish to file a complaint about an accredited program must do so in writing.

1. A letter, addressed to the president, and sent to the NAAB offices at 1735 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC must include the following:
 - a. The specific nature of the complaint.
 - b. A description of the impact on the accreditation of the program of the failure of the program or institution to address the complaint. The complainant is expected to reference the specific *Conditions for Accreditation* that may be compromised.
 - c. Evidence that the complainant has exhausted all other institutional means for resolving the issue.
2. Upon receiving a written complaint about a program, the NAAB will notify the program that a complaint has been received. The NAAB will make every effort to ensure the complainant's identity is kept confidential. The NAAB will request a response from the program.
3. The complaint and response are presented for review at the next Board meeting. At that time, the Board may consider the following courses of action:
 - a. To take no action.
 - b. To require the program to address the matter of the complaint in the next *Annual Report* and subsequent *APR*.
 - c. To append the complaint and response to the next *VTR, FE Report, Nomenclature Change Request Report, or Extension of Term Request Report* to be considered as part of the record for the next accreditation action.
4. The NAAB will not consider complaints from students about grades given in specific courses within NAAB-accredited programs.
5. Complaints may be filed at any time during a program's current accreditation cycle. Complaints about matters that arose in a prior accreditation cycle will not be considered.

SECTION 13. RECONSIDERATIONS

Programs may request reconsideration of Board action regarding terms of accreditation or of Board decisions to deny or revoke accreditation. When making a request for reconsideration, the program must be prepared to present evidence that either of the following is true:

- The Board's decision is not supported by factual evidence cited in the record or
- The NAAB and/or visiting team failed to comply substantially with established accreditation procedures and any such departure significantly affected the decision.

Reconsiderations may not be requested for the following circumstances:

- Failure of the program to provide information to the NAAB and/or the visiting team in a timely manner.
- Board action regarding the acceptance of *APRs* or *Annual Reports*.

Reconsiderations are conducted by the NAAB Directors. The filing of a request for a reconsideration automatically delays implementation of the Board's accreditation decision.

1. Initiating a Reconsideration

- a. The reconsideration must be requested by the chief academic officer of the institution within 14 calendar days of receiving the NAAB's accreditation decision.
- b. The request is sent to the NAAB president.
- c. The request must identify the incorrect or insufficient factual information cited by the NAAB in support of the decision and/or evidence of the visiting team's failure to comply with established accreditation procedures and that such failure significantly affected the accreditation decision.
- d. The request must be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- e. All days refer to regular calendar days, exclusive of national holidays.

2. Reconsideration Sequence

- a. Upon receiving the request, the NAAB president assigns a Board member as Board representative to oversee the reconsideration until its conclusion at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board. Other than having participated in the accreditation decision, the Board representative shall have had no prior involvement with the program.
- b. The Board representative sends the request for reconsideration to the team chair and requests a written response to the assertions of incorrect or insufficient evidence and/or failures of the visiting team to comply with established procedures.
- c. The Board representative, using the *VTR*, the program's response to the *VTR*, the program's request for reconsideration, and the visiting team chair's response, shall prepare a written analysis of the issues.

- d. The written analysis is sent to the program and the visiting team chair.
- e. Upon receiving the Board representative's analysis, the chief academic officer of the institution may request either one of the following:
 - i. A reconsideration on the record or
 - ii. A reconsideration hearing at the next regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting.
- f. Reconsideration on the Record
 - i. If the program requests reconsideration on the record, the reconsideration will be added to the agenda for the next regularly scheduled meeting.
 - ii. The agenda item will include the following background material:
 - 1. The *VTR*.
 - 2. The program's response to the *VTR*.
 - 3. The program's request for reconsideration.
 - 4. The visiting team chair's response.
 - 5. The Board representative's analysis.
 - iii. If the team chair is a member of the Board, he/she is excused from the deliberations.
 - iv. The NAAB Directors review the record and determine whether to reconsider the accreditation decision. At least eight members of the Board must vote in favor of a motion to reconsider the decision.
 - v. Reconsideration of the Accreditation Decision.
 - 1. If the motion to reconsider is approved, a new motion on the accreditation action will be made.
 - 2. Any new motion regarding a reconsidered term of accreditation must be based only on materials provided in the record.
 - 3. Any new motion regarding a reconsidered term of accreditation must have at least eight votes in favor to pass.
 - vi. Not less than 7 calendar days after the meeting of the Board of Directors where the term of accreditation was reconsidered, the NAAB president shall send the institution the decision. This letter will include reasons supporting it as recorded by the Board designee.
- g. Reconsideration Hearing. The hearing has two stages.
 - i. Determination to Grant Reconsideration
 - 1. If the program requests a reconsideration hearing, the chief academic officer and the Board representative may make a written request to the NAAB executive director naming persons required at the hearing. The executive director shall invite these persons, but cannot ensure their attendance. Such requests must be made at least 14 calendar days before the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors.
 - 2. During the Board meeting, the Board recesses from its regular business and reconvenes for the reconsideration hearing. The Board representative serves as chair. In attendance shall be the

NAAB Directors, the NAAB executive director, representatives of the institution as determined by the institution, and the visiting team chair.

3. The Board representative opens the hearing by introducing the participants and explaining the procedure to be followed.
 4. Representative(s) of the institution, who may include legal counsel, will present their position, confining it to issues of either incorrect or insufficient factual information and/or evidence that the visiting team's failure to comply with accreditation procedures affected the accreditation decision.
 5. Within the same limits, the visiting team chair and the president of NAAB may present other positions.
 6. The Board representative may question any attendee and, solely at his/her discretion, may direct questions from Board members to the institution and vice versa.
 7. The institution's representative(s) make a closing statement, which concludes the reconsideration hearing, after which the institution's representatives and the visiting team chair are excused.
 8. The NAAB Directors review the evidence and determine whether to reconsider the accreditation decision. At least 8 members of the Board must vote in favor of a motion to reconsider the decision.
- ii. Reconsideration of the Accreditation Decision
1. If the motion to reconsider is approved, the reconsideration hearing will adjourn and the Board will reconvene in its regular meeting. The president will resume the chair.
 2. Any new motion regarding a reconsidered term of accreditation must be based on information available to the visiting team with respect only to those matters that served as the basis for the grant of reconsideration. The Board may take the steps deemed necessary to review material available to the visiting team but not contained in the *APR* or *VTR*.
 3. Any new motion regarding a reconsidered term of accreditation must have at least 8 votes in favor to pass.
 4. Not less than 7 calendar days after the meeting of the Board of Directors where the term of accreditation was reconsidered, the NAAB president shall send the institution the decision. This letter will include reasons supporting it as recorded by the Board designee.

SECTION 14. APPEAL OF A RECONSIDERATION DECISION

Programs may appeal denial of a reconsideration decision only in the instance of a revocation decision. By entering an appeal process, the institution agrees to accept the ruling of the appeal panel as final.

Appeals may only be made on the following grounds:

- The NAAB decision to deny the reconsideration request was not supported by sufficient factual evidence cited in the record.
- The Board of Directors failed to comply substantially with NAAB procedures and such departure significantly affected the decision to deny the reconsideration request

Failure of the program to provide information to the NAAB in a timely manner cannot provide a basis for requesting the appeal of a reconsideration decision.

Neither the program nor the NAAB may raise issues in the appeal that were not raised in the request for reconsideration.

An appeal is conducted by persons selected to represent the collateral organizations and the public.

1. Initiating the Appeal

- a. To initiate an appeal hearing, the chief academic officer must send a written request within 14 calendar days of receiving official notice of the reconsideration decision. The request must include a specific response to the reconsideration decision.
- b. The request is sent to the NAAB president.
- c. The request must identify the incorrect or insufficient factual information cited by the NAAB in support of the decision and/or evidence of the Board's failure to comply with NAAB procedures and that such failure significantly affected the reconsideration decision.
- d. The request must be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- e. All days refer to regular calendar days, exclusive of national holidays.
- f. The filing of a request for an appeal automatically delays implementation of the Board's original accreditation decision.

2. Appeal Sequence

- a. Selecting the Appeal Panel
 - i. Each collateral organization is informed that an appeal has been filed and asked to submit to the NAAB president a list of persons who can represent the collateral organization and those who can represent the public; who are willing to serve on an appeal panel; and who have never

- been involved with either the institution or the reconsideration decision under appeal.
- ii. The NAAB president draws from these lists to propose an appeal panel composed of five persons, one each representing the AIA, AIAS, ACSA, NCARB, and the public.
 - iii. Within 14 calendar days of receiving a request for an appeal hearing, the NAAB executive director forwards the proposed membership of the panel to the chief academic officer and proposes a date and place for convening the panel.
 - iv. Within 7 calendar days of receiving the list, the chief academic officer either notifies the NAAB president that the panel is acceptable or challenges no more than two proposed members. In the latter case, the NAAB president will appoint replacements, after which the membership of the appeal panel is final.
 - v. The NAAB president selects a member of the approved panel to serve as the appeal panel chair.
- b. Appeal Panel Review of the Record
- i. The appeal panel receives and reviews the program's *APR*, *VTR*, the program's response to the *VTR*, materials reviewed or presented during the reconsideration hearing, the institution's response to the reconsideration decision, and the NAAB's response to the program's assertions.
 - ii. The appeal panel chair reviews the record, the format for the hearing and any policies, correspondence, and documents applicable to the appeal hearing with the executive director.
 - iii. After the initial review, the appeal panel chair and the chief academic officer of the institution then determine a time and place for the hearing.
- c. Appeal Hearing
- i. The appeal panel chair convenes an appeal hearing. In attendance are the appeal panel, the NAAB president and Board representative, the visiting team chair, the NAAB executive director, and not more than three representatives of the institution as determined by the institution.
 - ii. The appeal panel chair opens the hearing by introducing the participants and explaining the procedure to be followed.
 - iii. A representative or representatives of the institution, who may include legal counsel, first present their position, confining it to issues of incorrect or insufficient factual information cited by the NAAB in support of the decision to deny the reconsideration request and/or evidence that failure of the Board to comply with NAAB procedures significantly affected the reconsideration decision.
 - iv. The appeal panel chair may question any attendee.
 - v. The appeal panel chair calls a recess so the panel may consider whether to receive or request the addition of material to the record.
 - vi. The NAAB's representative make a closing statement.

- vii. The institution's representative or representatives make a closing statement, which concludes the appeal hearing, after which the institution's representatives are excused.

d. Appeal Decision

- i. The panel convenes in executive session to rule on whether the reconsideration decision is upheld.
 - 1. If the reconsideration decision is upheld, the following occur:
 - a. The appeal panel chair prepares a statement to be signed by the members of the appeal panel, stating the reconsideration decision is upheld, and delivers it to the NAAB office within 7 calendar days of the appeal hearing.
 - b. Within 7 calendar days of its receipt, the NAAB president forwards the statement to the chief academic officer of the institution.
 - 2. If the reconsideration decision is not upheld, the following occur:
 - a. The appeal panel identifies the factual evidence found to be incorrect or insufficient to support the NAAB decision to deny a reconsideration request and/or those lapses in compliance by the Board with NAAB procedures that significantly affected the reconsideration decision.
 - b. The appeal panel chair prepares a report containing the appeal panel decision and the reasons supporting it and delivers it to the NAAB office within 7 calendar days of the appeal hearing.
 - c. Within 7 calendar days of its receipt, the NAAB President forwards the report to the chief academic officer of the institution.
 - d. The NAAB immediately takes steps to correct factual evidence as specified in the appeal panel report and to have the NAAB make a new reconsideration decision in light of the corrections. This new reconsideration decision is subject to appeal, as if it were an original reconsideration decision.

3. **Decision.** The ruling of the appeal panel is final.

4. **Expenses.** The institution shall bear the expenses directly associated with the hearing, such as those for preparing documents, special services requested at the hearing, meeting rooms and for the travel, meals, and lodging of its representatives and for support and travel of the appeal panel. The institution shall bear the expense of having witnesses appear at its request, and the NAAB shall do the same.

SECTION 15. RESPONSIBILITIES

1. **Responsibilities of the NAAB office.** The NAAB staff is responsible for:

- a. Ensuring that the visiting team chair, team members, and non-voting members are informed of their responsibilities.
 - b. Providing the team chair and team members with the *Conditions* and *Procedures*, and a template for completion of the *VTR* not less than 4 weeks prior to the visit.
 - c. Stewarding the resources of the NAAB and the programs by approving all airline reservations with an estimated fare above \$750.00.
 - d. Communicating with team members on behalf of the program. Team members are advised not to communicate with the program directly; this is the responsibility of the NAAB staff and the team chair.
 - e. Billing programs for the expenses of the visiting team. These invoices will be sent not later than September 1 for visits that took place during the spring; and not later than February 1 for visits that took place in the fall. The NAAB will provide the following supporting documentation:
 - i. Copies of invoices or itineraries for airfare or other transportation.
 - ii. Copies of receipts for ground transportation, including rental cars.
 - iii. Copies of receipts for all meals and other expenses (except mileage).
2. **Responsibilities of the team members.** Team members are responsible for:
- a. Contacting the NAAB office to confirm their participation in the site visit not less than 4 weeks before the visit.
 - b. Promptly suggesting any revisions to the *VTR*.
 - c. Reviewing Section 9, Conflict of Interest, and verifying to the NAAB office and the team chair that no conflict of interest exists or disclosing potential conflicts so they can be managed appropriately.
 - d. Making air travel arrangements at least 4 weeks in advance to secure economical fares.
 - e. Before the visit, reviewing the *Conditions* and the *Procedures*, the program's *APR*, the format for the *VTR*, and the visiting team members' resumes.
 - f. Thoroughly examining documentation in the team room as assigned by the visiting team chair.
 - g. Actively participating or observing, as applicable, in all aspects of the visit and carrying out all tasks assigned by the visiting team chair with integrity and timeliness.
 - h. Participating in writing the draft of the *VTR*, which should reflect the team's consensus on all matters of substance. Teams are encouraged to completed the draft on the last night of the visit before the exit interviews.

- i. Holding information in strictest confidence as specified in these *Procedures*.
 - j. Notifying the NAAB office immediately in the event of a personal emergency that renders the team member unable to fulfill his/her responsibilities. In the event a team member withdraws from a team less than 30 days prior to the visit for reasons other than a personal or health emergency, he/she will be permanently removed from the pool of potential team members.
 - k. Completing and submitting his/her reimbursement request in a timely manner.
 - i. A copy of the reimbursement form can be found on the NAAB website site in the Documents section in the Team Room folder.
 - ii. Requests for reimbursement must be submitted within 30 days of the end of the visit. Requests for reimbursement must include:
 - 1. Invoice/itinerary for transportation (air or rail).
 - 2. Receipts for ground transportation, including rental cars.
 - 3. Receipts for all meals and incidental expenses (except mileage).
 - iii. Any reimbursement item that does not have an accompanying receipt will not be honored and the total amount of the reimbursement will be adjusted accordingly.
 - iv. Requests for reimbursement submitted after July 1 for spring visits and after January 15 for fall visits will not be honored.
 - v. In the event an individual has already completed his/her travel reservations and must withdraw from the team, he/she will be invoiced for the expense of the travel.
 - vi. In the event an individual has already completed his/her travel reservations and must reschedule his/her air transportation in order to ensure attendance for the entire visit, he/she will be invoiced for any change fees assessed by the airline.
 - l. Complete the required NAAB team training program prior to being assigned to a visiting team.
3. **Responsibilities of the school/program.** The program is responsible for:
- a. Making all hotel and lodging arrangements for the team. This includes ensuring that reasonable accommodation has been made for persons with disabilities.
 - b. Notifying the NAAB office not less than 30 days prior to the visit of the following:
 - i. Visit-related expenses that cannot be reimbursed according to institution policy (e.g., alcohol served at meals).
 - ii. Specific requirements for documentation to support invoices for team expenses (e.g., boarding passes).

If the program fails to notify the NAAB office before the team arrives, the program will be responsible for securing the necessary documentation from the team members.

- c. Unless otherwise agreed to by the program administrator and the team chair, the program is responsible for all ground transportation during the visit. This includes transportation to and from the airport and all local transportation.
 - d. The program is responsible for providing team members with copies of the *APR* not less than 45 days prior to the first day of the visit.
 - e. The program is responsible for providing the team room and for ensuring the following provisions have been addressed:
 - i. Secure, sound proof space for the exclusive use of the team.
 - ii. Accessible to the team only 24 hours a day during the course of the visit.
 - iii. Students must have been notified if work prepared for a specific course is selected for use in accreditation activities and must have reasonable access to the work, except during the actual accreditation visit.
 - iv. The program has been responsible for all expenses related to archiving or preparing original work for accreditation purposes.
4. **Expenses for visiting teams.** The program is responsible for all expenses for visiting teams. This includes visits for continuing accreditation, eligibility for candidacy, initial candidacy, initial accreditation, and program changes.
5. **Fines for Late *APRs*.** *APRs* are due each year on September 7. For each calendar day after September 7 that passes until the *APR* is received, the program will be assessed a fine of \$100.00 per day. This fee will be assessed when the program is billed for the expenses of the visiting team.
6. **Fines for Late Annual Reports.** *Annual Statistical Reports* are due each year on November 30. In the event a program fails to complete the annual statistical report on time, including not more than one extension, the program will be assessed a fine of \$100.00 per calendar day until the missing report(s) is submitted.
7. **Fine for Late Interim Progress Reports.** *Interim Progress Reports* are due on November 30 two years after a six-year term of accreditation is approved. In the event a program fails to submit the *Interim Progress Reports* on time, including not more than one extension, the program will be assessed a fine of \$100.00 per calendar day until the missing report is submitted. This fine will be invoiced once the report is submitted.

APPENDICES

1. History of the NAAB
2. Report Templates
3. Branch Campuses Questionnaire
4. Annual Report Submission
5. Reimbursement Policy
6. NAAB Bylaws
7. List of acronyms and abbreviations

Appendix 1: History of the NAAB

The first step leading to architectural accreditation was taken in Illinois where the first legislation regulating the practice of architecture was enacted in 1897. Following that enactment, the Illinois Board of Examiners and Regulators of Architects gave its first examination in 1898 and by 1902 had established a rule restricting the examination to graduates of the state's approved 4-year architecture curriculum. In 1903, the board expanded this policy to include graduates from Cornell, Columbia, and Harvard Universities, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and the University of Pennsylvania. That action suggested the need for national standards of architectural education.

The first attempt to establish national standards came with the founding of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture (ACSA) in 1912 and its adoption 2 years later of "standard minima" that schools were required to meet to gain ACSA membership. While these standard minima were in place, ACSA membership was equivalent to accreditation.

In 1932, the ACSA abandoned the standard minima, causing an 8-year hiatus in the profession's national system of education—a hiatus brought to an end when the ACSA, the American Institute of Architects (AIA), and National Council of Architectural Registration Boards (NCARB) established the NAAB and gave it authority to accredit schools of architecture nationally.

The founding agreement of 1940 also announced the intention to create an integrated system of architectural education that would allow schools with varying resources and circumstances to develop according to their particular needs.

Today, the NAAB's accreditation system for *professional degree programs* within schools requires a self-assessment by the accredited degree program, an evaluation of that assessment by the NAAB, and a site visit by an NAAB team that concludes with a recommendation to the NAAB as to the term of accreditation. The decision regarding the term of accreditation is then made by the NAAB Board of Directors.

Appendix 2: Report Templates

- A. Visiting Team Report
- B. Curriculum and Program Change Request Panel Report
- C. Nomenclature Change Request Panel Report

NOTE: The following templates are available online at www.naab.org:

Architecture Program Report (Section 5)

Interim Progress Report (Section 11)

Appendix 3. Branch Campuses Questionnaire

Name of Institution:	
Title of Degree:	
Name of Program Administrator:	
Name of Person Completing this Form:	
Location of Branch Campus, Additional Site, Teaching Site, Online learning, or Study Abroad Program:	
Distance from Main/Flagship Campus:	
Number of Courses from Curriculum Leading to a NAAB-Accredited Degree Offered at this site	
(List all courses: number, title, credits offered)	
Is attendance at the branch campus, additional site, teaching site, study abroad or online program required for completion of the NAAB-accredited degree program?	
Who has administrative responsibility for the program at the branch campus?	
To whom does this individual report?	
Where are financial decisions made?	
Who has responsibility for hiring faculty?	
Who has responsibility for rank, tenure, and promotion of faculty at the branch campus?	
Does the branch campus have its own curriculum committee?	
Does the branch campus have its own admissions committee?	
Does the branch campus have its own	

grievance committee?	
Does the branch campus have its own resources for faculty research and scholarship?	
Does the branch campus have its own AIAS or NOMAS chapter?	
Does the branch campus maintain its own membership in ACSA?	

Appendix 4: NAAB – Annual Statistical Report Submission (ARS)

Introduction

In 2008, the National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB) launched the online Annual Report Submission (ARS) system with an annual deadline of November 30.

Continuing accreditation and candidacy is subject to the submission of *Annual Reports*. They are reviewed by the NAAB staff and a response is prepared and posted to the ARS for easy access by the program.

If an acceptable *Annual Report* is not submitted to the NAAB by the following January 15 the NAAB may consider advancing the schedule for the program's next accreditation sequence.

SECTION A. INSTITUTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

This section captures aggregated information about the home institution for each architecture program. Wherever possible, this information should be the same as that reported by the institution to IPEDS in its most recent Institutional Characteristics report or Completion report.

1. Program Contact Information:

2. Institution Type:

3. Carnegie Classification:

a. Basic Classification:

b. Undergraduate Instructional Program:

c. Graduate Instructional Program:

d. Size and Setting:

4. Which regional accreditation agency accredits your institution?

5. In which ACSA region is the institution located?

6. Who has direct administrative responsibility for the architecture program?

Name

Title

Office Phone Number

Fax Number

Email

7. To whom should inquiries regarding this questionnaire to be addressed?

Name

Title

Office Phone Number

Fax Number

Email

8. Who is the university administrator responsible for verifying data (and completing IPEDS reports) at your institution?

Name

Title

Office Phone Number

Fax Number
Email

9. Institutional Test Scores

a. SAT

Critical Reading

25th percentile SAT score: _____

75th percentile SAT score: _____

Mathematics

25th percentile SAT score: _____

75th percentile SAT score: _____

Writing

25th percentile SAT score: _____

75th percentile SAT score: _____

b. ACT

25th percentile ACT score: _____

75th percentile ACT score: _____

c. Graduate Record Examination (GRE)

Verbal: _____ (200-800)

Quantitative: _____ (200-800)

Analytical: _____ (0.0 – 6.0)

SECTION B – NAAB-ACCREDITED ARCHITECTURE PROGRAMS

This section captures information about the specific NAAB-accredited degree programs offered by the institution, unless otherwise noted in the instructions.

1. DEGREE PROGRAMS

a. Which NAAB accredited / candidate degree programs were offered during the last fiscal year? (B. Arch, M. Arch, D. Arch)

Accredited

B. Architecture ·

M. Architecture ·

D. Architecture ·

Candidate

B. Architecture ·

M. Architecture ·

D. Architecture ·

b. Did your institution offer any preprofessional architecture degree programs during the last fiscal year (Bachelor of Science, Bachelor of Arts, etc.)?

c. Did your institution offer any post-professional architecture degree programs during the last fiscal year?

2. Does your institution have plans to initiate any new NAAB-accredited degree programs?
3. Does your institution have plans to discontinue any of its NAAB-accredited degree programs?
4. What academic year calendar type does your institution have?
5. Credit Hours for Completion for each program:
 - a. Indicate the total number of credit hours taken at your institution to earn each NAAB accredited/candidate degree program offered by your institution.
 - b. By degree, what is the distribution of the credit hours in the following: General Education, Professional, and Electives?
6. Average credit hours per student per term by degree program?
7. Is your degree program(s) offered in whole, or in part, at more than one campus or location? If yes, please provide detailed information including location (city, state, or country) length (credit hours), and indicate if students can complete the full accredited program at the additional campus.

SECTION C –TUITION, FEES AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR STUDENTS IN NAAB-ACCREDITED PROGRAMS

1. Tuition is defined as “the amount of tuition and required fees covering a full academic year most frequently charged to students for instructional services.”
 - a. What were the tuition and fees for the institution for the last fiscal year?
 - b. Does the institution offer discounted or differential tuition for a NAAB-accredited degree program?
 - c. Is a summer session required for any portion of your accredited degree program(s)? If yes, what is the additional tuition and fees for the summer program?
 - d. Does the institution offer discounted or differential tuition for summer courses for a NAAB accredited degree program?
2. **Financial Aid:** What was the percentage of student financial aid at both the institutional and architecture program levels (grants, loans, assistantships, scholarships, fellowships, tuition waivers, tuition discounts, veteran’s benefits, employer aid [tuition reimbursement] and other monies [other than from relatives/friends] provided to students to meet expenses? *This includes Title IV subsidized and unsubsidized loans provided directly to student) provided by the institution to students enrolled in each program(s) leading to a NAAB accredited degree during the last fiscal year.*
 - a. Institution
 - i. Percent of students receiving aid
 1. Federal Grants
 2. State/Local Grants
 3. Institutional Grants
 4. Student Loans
 - ii. Average amount of types of financial aid received
 1. Federal Grants
 2. State/Local Grants

- 3. Institutional Grants
- 4. Student Loans

b. Architecture Program

- i. Percent of students receiving aid
 - 1. Federal Grants
 - 2. State/Local Grants
 - 3. Institutional Grants
 - 4. Student Loans
- ii. Percent of students by types of aid
 - 1. Federal Grants
 - 2. State/Local Grants
 - 3. Institutional Grants
 - 4. Student Loans

3. Graduate Assistantships. (What was the total number of graduate-level students employed on a part-time basis for the primary purpose of assisting in classroom or laboratory instruction or in the conduct of research during the last fiscal year (Jul 1 – Jun 30) within the NAAB-accredited programs offered by your institution? *Please include: graduate assistant, teaching assistant, teaching associate, teaching fellow or research assistant in your calculation.*

SECTION D – STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS FOR NAAB-ACCREDITED AND PREPROFESSIONAL DEGREE PROGRAMS

- 1. First year students/entering students** Indicate the number of individuals who enrolled during the last fiscal year. Exclude readmitted students who were counted as enrolled in a prior year). Information about ethnicity must be based on self-identification information provided by the individual.
- 2. Total undergraduate/graduate architecture enrollment in NAAB accredited program by race/ethnicity.**

SECTION E -- DEGREES AWARDED

- 1. What is the total number of NAAB-accredited degrees that were awarded in the last fiscal year?**
- 2. Time to Completion/Graduation**
 - a. Time to completion equals the total number of semesters/quarters to complete the degree: _____
 - b. Percentage of students that graduate in normal time to completion¹⁴ _____
 - c. Percentage of students that graduate in 150% of normal time to completion _____

SECTION F -- RESOURCES FOR NAAB-ACCREDITED PROGRAMS

This section captures information on the resources of NAAB-accredited degree programs.

- 1. Total number of catalogued titles in the architecture library collection within the institutional library system** (Main Campus; Other locations – links from B8).

¹⁴ This is the number of semesters or quarters defined by the program or institution for completion of the program; this information is published in the institution's catalog.

2. Total number of catalogued titles that have Library of Congress NA or Dewey 720-729 (Main Campus; Other locations – links from B8).

3. What is the total number of permanent workstations (studio desks) that can be assigned to students enrolled in design studios?

4. Please indicate which of the following: labs, shop, and other learning resources are available to all students enrolled in NAAB-accredited degree program(s).

5. Financial Resources

a. Total Revenue from all sources \$ _____

b. Expenditures

i. Instruction \$ _____

ii. Capital \$ _____

iii. Overhead \$ _____

c. **Per Student Expenditure:** What is the average per student expenditure for students enrolled in a NAAB accredited degree program. *This is the total amount of goods and services, per student, used to produce the educational services provided by the NAAB-accredited program.*

Instruction + Overhead / FTE Enrollment \$ _____

SECTION G - HUMAN RESOURCE SUMMARY (Architecture Program)

1. Credit Hours Taught

- a. Total credit hours taught by full time faculty
- b. Total credit hours taught by part time faculty
- c. Total credit hours taught by adjunct faculty

2. Instructional Faculty

- a. **Full-time Instructional Faculty (Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Instructor):** Those members of the instructional/research staff who are employed full time and whose major assignment is instruction, including those with release time for research. Includes full-time faculty for whom it is not possible to differentiate between teaching, research, and public service because each of these functions is an integral component of his/her regular assignment:
- b. **Part-Time Instructional Faculty (Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Instructor).**
- c. **Adjunct Faculty Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Instructor):** Non-tenure track faculty serving in a temporary or auxiliary capacity to teach specific courses on a course-by-course basis. Includes both faculty who are hired to teach an academic degree-credit course and those hired to teach a remedial, developmental or ESL course; whether the later three categories earn college credit is immaterial. Excludes regular part-time faculty, graduate assistants, full-time professional staff who may teach individual courses (such as the dean or academic advisor) and appointees who teach non-credit courses exclusively.

3. Faculty Credentials:

Indicate the highest degree achieved by each full-time instructional faculty member (professor, associate professor, assistant professor).

4. Faculty Salaries

Average annual salaries for only full-time instructional faculty teaching in the NAAB-accredited program for the last fiscal year. Do not include administrators.

forum@naab.org

National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB)

1735 New York Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

t 202.783.2007 / f 202.783.2822

Appendix 5: Reimbursement Policy

The program is responsible for all expenses for visiting teams. This includes visits for continuing accreditation, eligibility for candidacy, initial candidacy, initial accreditation, focused evaluations¹⁵, program and curriculum changes and nomenclature changes.

All programs will be invoiced by the NAAB for all team travel expenses after team members are reimbursed by the NAAB.

The program is responsible for notifying the NAAB staff not less than 30 days prior to the visit if there are visit-related expenses that cannot be reimbursed according to institution policy (e.g., alcohol served at meals).

The NAAB reimburses each team member for expenses related to a site visit. This includes visits for continuing accreditation, eligibility for candidacy, initial candidacy, initial accreditation, focused evaluations¹⁶, program and curriculum changes and nomenclature changes.

The NAAB subsequently invoices the program for these expenses. Reimbursable expenses are hotel and subsistence, local travel to and from the airport and during the visit, and expenses incurred in planning the visit or preparing the report, as well as expenses for parking, tips, and food en route. The program is directly responsible for expenses incurred by its nominated non-voting member. If it wishes, the program may provide direct hotel subsistence and other team necessities on site; such expenses are not reported to the NAAB by team members and are not reimbursed by the NAAB nor invoiced to the program by the NAAB.

Immediately following the visit, team members and NAAB non-voting members should complete a reimbursement form (available online) and submit original receipts for transportation, meals, hotel, and miscellaneous expenses to the NAAB office. Reimbursement for air travel is for economy coach class only; car rental requires prior approval from the program. The program's non-voting members should make arrangements for reimbursement directly with the program. All reimbursements should be submitted to the NAAB office within 30 days of the visit. Please submit expenses for reimbursement only when you can include original receipts. Attach the receipts for all expenses (except mileage) to the form. Requests for reimbursement submitted after July 1 for spring visits and after January 15 for fall visits will not be honored.

When you have filled out the expense reimbursement form, please send it to:

Ms. Ziti Sherman
Financial Manager
NAAB
1735 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-5209

¹⁵ Focused evaluations that were scheduled as the result of decisions made in 2010, 2011, and 2012 will still take place.

¹⁶ Focused evaluations that were scheduled as the result of decisions made in 2010, 2011, and 2012 will still take place.

Appendix 6: NAAB Bylaws

Appendix 7. List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACSA	Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture
AIA	The American Institute of Architects
AIAS	The American Institute of Architecture Students
APR	Architecture Program Report
APR-IC	Architecture Program Report for Initial Candidacy
APR-IA	Architecture Program Report for Initial Accreditation
ARE	Architect Registration Examination
FE	Focused Evaluation
IDP	Intern Development Program
NAAB	National Architectural Accrediting Board
NCARB	National Council of Architectural Registration Boards
NVTM	Non-voting team member
VTR	Visiting Team Report
VTR-IC	Visiting Team Report for Initial Candidacy
VTR-IA	Visiting Team Report for Initial Accreditation

Index

<i>Annual Report</i>	27, 39, 55, 72, 73, 77, 81, xci, xcvi
Annual Statistical Report.....	77
appeal	26, 62, 67, 80, 85, 86, 87
Appeal Decision	87
Appeal Hearing	86
Appeal Panel Review of the Record.....	86
Appeal Sequence.....	85
<i>APR</i> . 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, 52, 53, 55, 56, 72, 73, 78, 80, 81, 84, 86, 88, 90	
Architecture Program Report	13, 30, 41, 59, 64
<i>Architecture Program Report</i> Submitted for Initial Candidacy.....	13
Board of Directors1, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 15, 23, 25, 26, 28, 32, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43, 52, 53, 55, 58, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 75, 80, 83, 84, 85, xcii	
Candidacy	9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 23, 70
Candidacy Application.....	9
Challenges to Team Members	17, 35, 46
complaint.....	81
Composition of Teams	32, 43
Condition 12.....	57, 63
Conditions for Accreditation ..3, 4, 5, 9, 12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 29, 30, 36, 37, 52, 53, 74, 81	
Confidentiality.....	26, 39, 55, 62, 68
conflict of interest	16, 17, 33, 35, 44, 46, 75, 76, 88
Conflicts of Interest	17, 35, 46
Corrections of fact.....	25, 38, 54
<i>C-VTR</i>	25
Decision of the Board of Directors.....	26, 39, 55
Determination of Eligibility	10
Dissemination of the <i>APR</i>	43
Eligibility for Initial Accreditation	28
Eligibility Visit	10
Expenses	1, 87, 88, 90, cii
Expenses for visiting teams	90
Faculty Exhibits	19, 23, 36, 48, 52
Failure to Submit an <i>Annual Report</i>	77
Final Decision.....	62, 67
Fines for late APRs	90
focused evaluation	63, 80
initial accreditation.....	9, 12, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 34, 35, 39, 40, 41, 69, 90, cii
Initial Accreditation.....	9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 28, 29, 30, 36, 70
INITIAL ACCREDITATION.....	28
Initial candidacy.....	9
Initial Candidacy.....	13
Initiating a Reconsideration	82
Initiating the Appeal.....	85
NAAB 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 73, 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, xci, xcii, xcvi, cii, ciii	
NAAB Response	79
NAAB's Annual Report Submission	77
National Council of Architectural Registration Boards	1, 28, xcii

NCARB	1, 4, 28, 32, 43, 86, xcii	Request for Reinstating Accreditation	70
nomenclature change.....	58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 69	Required Separate <i>APR</i> and Separate Remote Program Site Visits	72
NOMENCLATURE CHANGE REQUESTS	63	Responsibilities of the NAAB office	88
Nomenclature Change Review Team.	60, 65	Responsibilities of the school/program.....	89
Nomenclature Change Sequence	60, 65	Responsibilities of the team members	88
Notification to Program.....	17, 35, 46	Review and acceptance of the <i>APR</i>	42
Observers	17, 34, 45, 46, 75	Schedule/Agenda for Each Visit.....	18, 47
Official Request for Initial Accreditation.....	29	Schedule/Agenda for the Visit.....	36
Optional response	25, 38, 54	Scheduling the Dates for the Visit	17, 35, 46
Phasing Out Programs.....	74	Selecting the Appeal Panel	85
Plan for Achieving Initial Accreditation	12	Sequence of exit interviews	20, 49
<i>Procedures</i> 1, 4, 10, 11, 17, 18, 27, 33, 39, 44, 47, 55, 88, 89, cii		Site Visits	35, 46, 72
Professional Degrees & Curriculum	59, 64	Special Provisions for Institutions with More than One NAAB-Accredited Degree Program	56
Programs at Remote Locations.....	70	Student Performance Criteria.....	22, 24, 36, 51, 53, 59, 64
Proposal for Nomenclature Changes	59, 64	Subsequent Evaluations	27
Public Disclosure of Accreditation Outcomes.....	26, 39, 55	Team Chair	16, 33, 44, 60, 65
Recommendations for Nomenclature Change Requests	62, 67	Team Room	21, 36, 50, 89
reconsideration.....	26, 39, 55, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87	terms of accreditation.....	82
Reconsideration Hearing.....	83	Transmitting the Decision.....	55
Reconsideration of the Accreditation Decision ...	83, 84	Transmitting the Decision of the Board of Directors	26, 39
Reconsideration on the Record.....	83	Visiting Team Report....	16, 23, 33, 36, 44, 52, 73, xciii
Reconsideration Sequence	82	Visiting Teams.....	16, 32, 43
Report from the Eligibility Visit.....	11	VTR. 16, 20, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 44, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55, 60, 63, 66, 81, 83, 84, 86, 88, 89	
Request for Postponement of a Regularly Scheduled Visit.....	69		